



**Kent
County
Council**



FORWARD PLAN OF DECISIONS

2 July 2012 - 28 December 2012

This Edition of the Forward Plan Supersedes ALL Previous Editions

Leader of the County Council - Paul Carter
Published by Democratic Services

This Forward Plan lists “Key Decisions” which Kent County Council intends to take over the next six months. It gives information on the projects that will be coming forward and who will be involved with them. The Plan also contains reference to other proposed decisions, which although not Key Decisions are nonetheless significant in terms of their outcomes.

Please use the contact details given to let us know your views.

FORWARD PLAN OF DECISIONS

Each month the Council publishes a Forward Plan of Decisions expected to be taken during the following six months.

A “Key Decision” is an Executive-side Decision which is likely to:

- (a) result in the council incurring expenditure which is, or the making of savings which are, significant having regard to the council’s budget for the service or function to which the decision relates; or
- (b) be significant in terms of its effects on communities living or working in an area comprising two or more electoral divisions in the area of the local authority.

Key Decisions can only be taken by the Cabinet, the Leader or an individual Cabinet Member.

Decisions which should be regarded as Key Decisions because they are likely to have a significant effect either in financial terms or on the Council’s services to the community include:

- (a) Decisions about expenditure or savings over £1,000,000 which are not provided for within the approved budget or Medium Term Financial Plan
- (b) Adoption of major new policies not already included in the Policy Framework (Constitution Appendix 3) or changes to established policies
- (c) Approval of management and business plans
- (d) Decisions that involve significant service developments, significant service reductions, or significant changes in the way that services are delivered, whether County-wide or in a particular locality. For example, closure of a school, approval of a major project (such as a highway scheme) or programme of works, major changes in the eligibility criteria for provision of a service, major changes in the fees charged for a service, or proposals that would result in a service currently provided in-house being outsourced.
- (e) Decisions where the consequences are likely to result in compulsory redundancies or major changes in the terms and conditions of employment of a significant number of employees in any of the Council’s functions.

Preparation of the Forward Plan helps the Council to programme its work and ensures compliance with the Local Government Act 2000. Every month, the period covered by the Plan will be rolled forward by one month and the plan will be republished.

The Plan outlines the consultation that is proposed in respect of future decisions and who members of the public and the Council should contact to make comments on any particular item. Anyone is entitled to obtain copies of the documents that will be relied upon when a decision is taken, unless those documents are ‘Exempt’ within the meaning of the relevant sections of the Local Government Act 1972 (as amended).

Reports related to decisions will be published on the Council’s web site at www.kent.gov.uk at least five days before the decision it is due to be taken. Once the decision has been taken, a copy of the Record of Decision will also be published on the Council’s website. Paper copies will be made available by contacting Andrew Ballard (telephone 01622 694297 or email andrew.ballard@kent.gov.uk).

The Kent County Council Cabinet Members are:	
Mr Paul Carter	Leader of the Council
Mr Alex King	Deputy Leader & Cabinet Member for Democracy and Partnerships
Mr Roger Gough	Cabinet Member for Business Strategy, Performance & Health Reform
Mr John Simmonds	Cabinet Member for Finance & Business Support
Mr Graham Gibbens	Cabinet Member for Adult Social Care & Public Health
Mr Bryan Sweetland	Cabinet Member for Environment, Highways & Waste
Mr M Dance	Cabinet Member for Regeneration & Economic Development
Mr M Whiting	Cabinet Member for Education, Learning & Skills
Mr Mike Hill	Cabinet Member for Communities, Customer Services & Improvement
Mrs Jenny Whittle	Cabinet Member for Specialist Children's Services

All Members can be contacted by writing to Kent County Council, Sessions House, County Hall, Maidstone, Kent, ME14 1XQ or by email via the Council's website.

FORWARD PLAN SUMMARY

Summary of all forthcoming executive decisions in month order

Month	Date	Subject Matter	Decision Maker	Page No.
JULY BY CABINET MEMBER				
July 2012		Disposal and lease of land for the provision of mixed tenure housing over a number of sites in Kent - 12/01911	Cabinet	6
July 2012		Disposals Programme: Properties estimated to yield in excess of £1,000,000 in the Disposals Programme 2012/2013 - 12/01912	Cabinet	9
July 2012		Dover Christchurch Academy, Melbourne Avenue, Dover, Kent CT16 2EG - 12/01902	Cabinet	12
July 2012		Goat Lees Primary School, Kennington, Ashford - 12/01914	Cabinet	15
July 2012		Lease renewals for office and other operational properties plus associated transactions - 12/01909	Cabinet	17
Not before July 2012		NEW - Policy for the use of mirrors on the Highway in Kent - 12/01931	Cabinet	19
July 2012		Revenue Budget Roll Forward - 12/01903	Cabinet	21
July 2012		The acquisition and investment of properties relating to the Margate Housing Intervention Programme - 12/01910	Cabinet	23
July 2012		Wilmington Academy, Common Lane, Wilmington, Dartford, Kent, DA2 7DR - 12/01900	Cabinet	26
July 2012		Excellent Homes for All - 12/01904	Cabinet	29
July 2012		The Knole Academy, Bradbourne Vale Road, Sevenoaks, Kent, TN13 3LE - 12/01898	Cabinet	33
JULY BY INDIVIDUAL CABINET MEMBER				
Between July 2012 and August 2012		Restructure of Learning Disability In-House Day Services and Tender of Four Potential Social Enterprises - 12/01880	Cabinet Member for Adult Social Care & Public Health	36
July 2012		NHS Health Checks Programme - 12/01917	Cabinet Member for Adult Social Care & Public Health	38
Not before July 2012		Procurement of property vehicle for surplus land owned by Kent County Council to allow the provision of new operational facilities in each district as part of asset collaboration - 12/01837	Cabinet Member for Business Strategy, Performance & Health Reform	40
Not before July 2012		Finalising the procurement of external services in the day to day management of Oakwood House - 12/01836	Cabinet Member for Business Strategy, Performance & Health Reform	43
July 2012		Delegation to Kent and Medway Fire Authority	Cabinet Member for	45

	of Duties and responsibilities under the Safety at Sports Grounds Act 1975, the Fire Safety and Safety of Places of Sport Act 1987 and the Safety of Sports Grounds Regulations 1987 - 12/01916	Customer & Communities	
Not before July 2012	New - The localisation of the Discretionary Social Fund from April 2013 - 12/01939	Cabinet Member for Customer & Communities	47
July 2012	NEW - Specialist Teaching Service Devolution - 12/01937	Cabinet Member for Education, Learning & Skills	50
July 2012	Modular Classroom Programme 2012-13 - 12/01915	Cabinet Member for Education, Learning & Skills	52
Not before July 2012	NEW - Specialist Teaching Service Devolution - 12/01938	Cabinet Member for Education, Learning & Skills	54
July 2012	Highways and Transportation – Fees and Charges - 12/01906	Cabinet Member for Environment, Highways and Waste	56
Between July 2012 and December 2012	NEW - A Standard Palette of Materials for use in Public Realm Schemes - 12/01922	Cabinet Member for Environment, Highways and Waste	58
July 2012	NEW - Kent County Council's Gypsy and Traveller Pitch Allocation Policy - 12/01920	Cabinet Member for Environment, Highways and Waste	60
July 2012	NEW - Managing Events on the Highway - 12/01934	Cabinet Member for Environment, Highways and Waste	62
Not before July 2012	NEW - Speeding up of procedures for Traffic Regulation Orders - 12/01927	Cabinet Member for Environment, Highways and Waste	64
Not before July 2012	NEW - Thanet Transport Strategy - 12/01929	Cabinet Member for Environment, Highways and Waste	66
Not before July 2012	NEW - Renewal of Insurances - 12/01942	Cabinet Member for Finance & Business Support	69
AUGUST BY INDIVIDUAL CABINET MEMBER			
August 2012	Growing Places Fund: Agreement to enter loan for infrastructure to unlock economic activity - 12/01908	Cabinet Member for Regeneration and Economic Development	71

SEPTEMBER BY COUNTY COUNCIL			
September 2012	NEW - The Kent Youth Justice Plan 2012.13	County Council	74
September 2012	NEW - KCC Community Safety Framework - 12/01941	County Council	76
SEPTEMBER BY CABINET			
September 2012	Lease renewals for properties plus associated transactions where KCC is the landlord - 12/01913	Cabinet	78
September 2012	NEW - Freight Action Plan - 12/01930	Cabinet	81
September 2012	Submission of the Final Business Case to DFE for The John Wallis Academy, Millbank Road, Kingsnorth, Ashford, Kent TN23 3HG and entry into contract with the building contractor - 12/01901	Cabinet	84
September 2012	Equality Objectives for Kent	Cabinet	87
September 2012	Submission of the Final Business Case to DFE for St Augustine's Academy, Oakwood Park, Maidstone, Kent ME16 8AE and entry into contract with the building contractor - 12/01899	Cabinet	89
SEPTEMBER BY INDIVIDUAL CABINET MEMBER			
Between September 2012 and October 2012	Mental Health Community Support Services - 11/01746	Cabinet Member for Adult Social Care & Public Health	92
September 2012	NEW - Local Health Watch - 12/01943	Cabinet Member for Business Strategy, Performance & Health Reform	94
September 2012	NEW - To agree the commissioning plan for education provision 2012-17 - 12/01936	Cabinet Member for Education, Learning & Skills	97
September 2012	Kent Minerals and Waste Development Framework (MWDF) Core Strategy at Pre-Submission (Draft Plan) Stage - 12/01879	Cabinet Member for Environment, Highways and Waste	100
September 2012	NEW - Maidstone Borough Council: 'Preparation' consultation on strategic site allocations (Regulation 18) - 12/01919	Cabinet Member for Environment, Highways and Waste	703
September 2012	NEW - Managing Roadworks, Kent Lane Rental Scheme - 12/01932	Cabinet Member for Environment, Highways and Waste	105
September 2012	New - Winter Service Policy 2012-13 - 12/01921	Cabinet Member for Environment, Highways and Waste	107

OCTOBER BY INDIVIDUAL CABINET MEMBER			
October 2012	Outlining facilities management strategy for the whole property estate- 12/01838	Cabinet Member for Business Strategy, Performance & Health Reform	109
October 2012	Procurement of external property services under a new Framework and associated contracts - 12/01835	Cabinet Member for Business Strategy, Performance & Health Reform	112
October 2012	To Agree proposal for land transfer to Swale BC agree service equipment for a new Community Hub and the timelines and process that need to follow - 11/01794	Cabinet Member for Business Strategy, Performance & Health Reform, Cabinet Member for Regeneration and Economic Development	114
Between October 2012 and November 2012	Maidstone Borough Council Core Strategy Submission (Regulation 27) consultation - 12/01828	Cabinet Member for Environment, Highways and Waste	116
NOVEMBER BY INDIVIDUAL CABINET MEMBER			
November 2012	14-24 Education Strategy - 12/01897	Cabinet Member for Education, Learning & Skills	118
November 2012	NEW - Canterbury Transport Strategy - 12/01923	Cabinet Member for Environment, Highways and Waste	120
November 2012	NEW - Gravesham Transport Strategy - 12/01925	Cabinet Member for Environment, Highways and Waste	123
November 2012	NEW - Maidstone Integrated Transport Strategy - 12/01926	Cabinet Member for Environment, Highways and Waste	126
November 2012	NEW - Swale Transport Strategy - 12/01928	Cabinet Member for Environment, Highways and Waste	129
DECEMBER BY INDIVIDUAL CABINET MEMBER			
December 2012	NEW - A20 Corridor Statutory Quality Bus Partnership Scheme - 12/01924	Cabinet Member for Environment, Highways and Waste	132
December 2012	NEW - Technical And Environmental Services Contract (Tesc) - 12/01935	Cabinet Member for Environment, Highways and Waste	135

December 2012	NEW - Tonbridge Town Centre Revised Transport Strategy - 12/01933	Cabinet Member for Environment, Highways and Waste	138
------------------	--	---	-----

JULY BY CABINET

<p>Responsible Cabinet Member - Cabinet</p> <p>Reference No: 12/01911</p> <p>Key Yes</p>
<p>Section 1 – the decision needed, how it relates to Bold Steps for Kent (BS4K) and the Corporate Objectives of the Council/ What is the impact, costs and risks involved. With this proposed decision.</p>
<p>Title: Disposal and lease of land for the provision of mixed tenure housing over a number of sites in Kent - 12/01911</p> <p>The Decision needed: The Approval will delegate to the Director of Property & Infrastructure Support, the final terms for disposal of land and grant of leases to allow private sector, private rented and social housing on a number of sites in Kent.</p> <p>How the decision relates to BS4K and Corporate Objectives</p> <p>This decision meets a number of the 16 priorities in delivering Bold Steps including providing housing growth that is affordable, sustainable and with the appropriate infrastructure by using KCC's surplus assets to deliver this when there is market uncertainty with the delivery of new housing stock in Kent. The organisation will also be helping to work with district partners to deliver housing regeneration and mixed tenure housing in different parts of the county and this is a key part of Bold Steps for Housing strategy. The proposal will provide construction jobs for key businesses in Kent including SME.</p> <p>Impact/costs and risks of proposed decision</p> <p>The impact, costs and risks will be set out for each site in the Decision report and outline the disposal price plus also the rental income that is being secured. The report will outline the final deal to be agreed and there are risks associated with the potential purchasers being affected by the current restrictions on bank funding for some construction projects. The deal will also involve planning permission for housing on sites being obtained and there are risks attached on the density and mix of units affecting the disposal price and/or the rental income</p>
<p>Section 2 – Who is taking the final decision and when</p>
<p>Who is taking the Decision Cabinet</p>

Date:

July 2012

Reason if Key Decision

If Key Decision - reason (see note on what constitutes a Key Decision)
The proposal will unlock regeneration of a number of KCC assets, provide housing stock as part of Bold Steps for Housing strategy and provide rental income for KCC

Reason if this decision has been delayed/withdrawn from a previous plan

Not Applicable

Notify all Members of the Council but particularly the ward members where these sites are located.

Section 3 – Who is to be consulted? If no please provide reasons?

Please state if there are any additional legal requirements to consult other than those stated in the Local Government Act 1999 - Best Value Duty.

KCC is required to secure best value and therefore independent valuations will be undertaken to verify the final terms agreed will meet this criteria

Aims and level(s) of consultation (*KCC consultation levels attached*)

NA

Key consultee groups

NA

Start and finish dates of consultation.

NA

Equalities Impact Assessment included in the consultation documentation. Please state where this can be found.

NA

Date of publication of consultation outcomes and updated Equalities Impact Assessment

NA

Informing Only

NA

Has the matter already been discussed by a Cabinet Committee? If not when will be it discussed by the Cabinet Committee and the date of the meeting

No

Is the matter referred to in your Business Plan or Medium Term Capital Programme?

The Property Business Plan refers to the disposal strategy and asset management strategy but there is no specific reference to the sites included within the deal

Which Members and electoral Divisions are affected by this proposed decision? Is it All Members?

All Members

Section 4 – Responsible Officer – Who to contact for more information.

Your name, Your Service, Your phone number and email address:
Nigel Brown, Manager – Asset Development and Commissioning Manager,
PI&S
01622 696970 - Nigel.brown@kent.gov.uk

Support documents
Bold Steps for Housing

Responsible Cabinet Member - Cabinet

Reference No: 12/01912

Key Yes

Section 1 – the decision needed, how it relates to Bold Steps for Kent (BS4K) and the Corporate Objectives of the Council/ What is the impact, costs and risks involved. With this proposed decision.

Title:

Disposals Programme: Properties estimated to yield in excess of £1,000,000 in the Disposals Programme 2012/2013 - 12/01912

The Decision needed:

Approval to dispose of land and properties with an estimated capital receipt over £1,000,000 with final terms delegated to the Director of Property & Infrastructure Support in consultation with the Cabinet Member for Business Strategy and Support. Delegation only effected when receipt and terms equal or exceed the terms outlined within the report. The disposals will include general fund, earmarked, PEF1 and PEF2 property.

How the decision relates to BS4K and Corporate Objectives

This decision is needed to ensure that KCC disposals can proceed quickly in response to the market. The decision will support all 3 Bold Steps for Kent in that the receipts obtained from properties will be used to fund priority capital schemes to improve KCC service delivery.

Impact/costs and risks of proposed decision

The impact, costs and risks will be set out for each property in the document. The impact of the decision will be that receipts will be achieved as quickly as possible to ensure the capital programme is delivered and minimise holding costs. Without the decision, KCC will be unable to respond to offers in a timely way and may lose sales, may impact on the delivery of the capital programme and may increase holding costs.

Section 2 – Who is taking the final decision and when

Who is taking the Decision

Cabinet

Date:

July 2012

Reason if Key Decision

It is likely to have a “significant” effect on KCC’s services to the community in that it may impact on the Council’s capital programme and improvements.

Also that under the property management protocol disposals in excess of £1,000,000 requires a Member decision to proceed.

Reason if this decision has been delayed/withdrawn from a previous plan

Not Applicable

Notify all Members of the Council

Section 3 – Who is to be consulted? If no please provide reasons?

Please state if there are any additional legal requirements to consult other than those stated in the Local Government Act 1999 - Best Value Duty.

No requirement

Aims and level(s) of consultation (*KCC consultation levels attached*)

NA

Key consultee groups

NA

Start and finish dates of consultation.

NA

Equalities Impact Assessment included in the consultation documentation. Please state where this can be found.

NA

Date of publication of consultation outcomes and updated Equalities Impact Assessment

NA

Informing Only

NA

Has the matter already been discussed by a Cabinet Committee? If not when will be it discussed by the Cabinet Committee and the date of the meeting

No

Is the matter referred to in your Business Plan or Medium Term Capital Programme?

Yes - The matter is referred to in the Medium Term Plan.

Yes – The matter is referred to in the capital section of the Property & Infrastructure Support 2012/13 Business Plan

Which Members and electoral Divisions are affected by this proposed decision? Is it All Members?

All Members

Section 4 – Responsible Officer – Who to contact for more information.

Your name, Your Service, Your phone number and email address:

Mark Cheverton, Manager – Estates Management, PI&S
01622 696977 - mark.cheverton@kent.gov.uk

Support documents

None.

Responsible Cabinet Member - Cabinet

Reference No: 12/01902

Key Yes

Section 1 – the decision needed, how it relates to Bold Steps for Kent (BS4K) and the Corporate Objectives of the Council/ What is the impact, costs and risks involved. With this proposed decision.

Title:

Dover Christchurch Academy, Melbourne Avenue, Dover, Kent CT16 2EG - 12/01902

The Decision needed:

Approval to submit the Feasibility Study to DfS

How the decision relates to BS4K and Corporate Objectives

This decision is needed in to progress KCC's Batch 2 Academies Programme. It directly supports 3 of the Bold Steps for Kent. Firstly, it perpetuates the target that all pupils meet their full potential. Secondly, it promotes Kent's cultural and sporting offer through the first class facilities which will be delivered. Thirdly, it builds relations with key business sectors across Kent, as the supply chain involved in such a project provides work to businesses of all sizes

Impact/costs and risks of proposed decision

The impact of the decision is that the project will be able to submit the Final Business Case, and enter into the necessary contracts with the Academy and the Building. The budget for the project is £16.7million. The risks will be set out in the paper intended to support Cabinet in taking this decision.

Section 2 – Who is taking the final decision and when

Who is taking the Decision

Cabinet

Date:

July 2012

Reason if Key Decision

Significant service developments, reduction, changes in delivery

Reason if this decision has been delayed/withdrawn from a previous plan

N/a

Section 3 – Who is to be consulted? If no please provide reasons?

Please state if there are any additional legal requirements to consult other than those stated in the Local Government Act 1999 - Best Value Duty.

There are no additional requirements to consult. Cabinet should note that as part of the detailed planning application, two public exhibitions were held in order that members of the public could view, and comment upon, the proposals.

Aims and level(s) of consultation (*KCC consultation levels attached*)

NA

Key consultee groups

NA

Start and finish dates of consultation.

NA

Equalities Impact Assessment included in the consultation documentation. Please state where this can be found.

NA

Date of publication of consultation outcomes and updated Equalities Impact Assessment

NA

Informing Only (Who and when)

NA

Has the matter already been discussed by a Cabinet Committee? If not when will be it discussed by the Cabinet Committee and the date of the meeting

No

Is the matter referred to in your Business Plan or Medium Term Capital Programme?

Yes - The matter is referred to in the Medium Term Plan.

Yes – The matter is referred to in the capital section of the Property & Infrastructure Support 2012/13 Business Plan as a Batch 2 Academy that will achieve contract completion and start of works.

Which Members and electoral Divisions are affected by this proposed decision? Is it All Members?

Mike Whitting, and Roger Gough in their capacities as Cabinet Members, and Bryan Cope in his capacity as ward member.

Section 4 – Responsible Officer – Who to contact for more information.

Your name, Your Service, Your phone number and email address:

Rebecca Spore, Director of Property and Infrastructure Support
Rebecca.spore@kent.gov.uk - 01622 221151

Support documents

P&IS Business Plan

Responsible Cabinet Member - Cabinet

Reference No: 12/01914

Key Yes

Section 1 – the decision needed, how it relates to Bold Steps for Kent (BS4K) and the Corporate Objectives of the Council/ What is the impact, costs and risks involved. With this proposed decision.

Title:

Goat Lees Primary School, Kennington, Ashford - 12/01914

The Decision needed:

Approval to proceed with design & development and to enter into contract with building contractor to deliver the 1FE Goat Lees Primary School. The School includes for hard and landscaping to provide sports pitches and Multi Use Games Area to enhance sporting facilities.

How the decision relates to BS4K and Corporate Objectives

The decision is needed which directly supports 3 of the Bold Steps for Kent. Firstly it references the target that all pupils meet their full potential. Secondly, it promotes the delivery of 'Kent Environment Strategy' and thirdly Kent's cultural and sporting offer for residents.

Impact/costs and risks of proposed decision

The impact of the decision is that the project will be able to proceed to tender stage and enter into contractual arrangements to achieve targeted completion of August 2013. The value of the project is estimated at £3.9m.

Section 2 – Who is taking the final decision and when

Who is taking the Decision

Cabinet

Date:

July 2012

Reason if Key Decision

Significant service developments, reduction, changes in delivery

Reason if this decision has been delayed/withdrawn from a previous plan

Not applicable

Notify all Members of the Council

Section 3 – Who is to be consulted? If no please provide reasons?

Please state if there are any additional legal requirements to consult other than those stated in the Local Government Act 1999 - Best Value Duty.

There are no additional requirements to consult. Planning approval was

granted in October 2011 and as part of this process Ashford Borough Council, a Consortium Group of Head Teachers and public consultations were undertaken. These groups formed positive and constructive feedback which has been addressed in the original planning application.

Aims and level(s) of consultation (*KCC consultation levels attached*)

Not applicable

Key consultee groups

Not applicable

Start and finish dates of consultation.

Not applicable

Equalities Impact Assessment included in the consultation documentation. Please state where this can be found.

Not applicable

Date of publication of consultation outcomes and updated Equalities Impact Assessment

Not applicable

Has the matter already been discussed by a Cabinet Committee? If not when will be it discussed by the Cabinet Committee and the date of the meeting

Not applicable

Is the matter referred to in your Business Plan or Medium Term Capital Programme?

There is reference to the project in the 2012/13 approved Budget Book. (Section 3, Basic Need Individual Projects)

This is a Basic Need Capital project to provide additional pupil places in the Ashford area.

Which Members and electoral Divisions are affected by this proposed decision? Is it All Members?

All Members - specifically Richard King, Ashford Rural West; Mike Whiting and Gary Cooke as Education, Learning and Skills Cabinet members.

Section 4 – Responsible Officer – Who to contact for more information.

Your name, Your Service, Your phone number and email address:

Rebecca Spore, Director of Property & Infrastructure Support
Rebecca.spore@kent.gov.uk , 01622 221151

Support documents

None at this time. To be provided before decision date

Responsible Cabinet Member - Cabinet

Reference No: 12/01909

Key Yes

Section 1 – the decision needed, how it relates to Bold Steps for Kent (BS4K) and the Corporate Objectives of the Council/ What is the impact, costs and risks involved. With this proposed decision.

Title:

Lease renewals for office and other operational properties plus associated transactions - 12/01909

The Decision needed:

Approval to enter into new leases for offices and other operational properties where rents likely to exceed £100,000 per annum and KCC is the Landlord.

How the decision relates to BS4K and Corporate Objectives

This decision is needed in to renew leases for key properties KCC needs to retain to ensure the smooth delivery of its services. The decision will support all 3 Bold Steps for Kent in that the properties will accommodate KCC's service delivery who are responding to the requirements of the County identified in its policy documents.

Impact/costs and risks of proposed decision

The impact, costs and risks will be set out for each property in the document but essentially it will enable the continuation / improvement of service delivery in present locations identified as required. The cost will vary from property to property. The main risk will be that a service may not be able to continue with its service delivery if the lease is not renewed.

Section 2 – Who is taking the final decision and when

Who is taking the Decision

Cabinet

Date:

July 2012

Reason if Key Decision

It is likely to have a "significant" effect on KCC's services to the community if leases are not renewed.

Also that under the property management protocol leaseholds with a rent in excess of £100,000 per annum requires a member's decision.

Reason if this decision has been delayed/withdrawn from a previous plan

Not Applicable
Notify all Members of the Council
Section 3 – Who is to be consulted? If no please provide reasons?
Please state if there are any additional legal requirements to consult other than those stated in the Local Government Act 1999 - Best Value Duty.
No requirement
Aims and level(s) of consultation (<i>KCC consultation levels attached</i>)
NA
Key consultee groups
NA
Start and finish dates of consultation.
NA
Equalities Impact Assessment included in the consultation documentation. Please state where this can be found.
NA
Date of publication of consultation outcomes and updated Equalities Impact Assessment
NA
Informing Only
NA
Has the matter already been discussed by a Cabinet Committee? If not when will be it discussed by the Cabinet Committee and the date of the meeting
No
Is the matter referred to in your Business Plan or Medium Term Capital Programme?
Which Members and electoral Divisions are affected by this proposed decision? Is it All Members?
All Members
Section 4 – Responsible Officer – Who to contact for more information.
Your name, Your Service, Your phone number and email address: Mark Cheverton, Manager – Estates Management, PI&S 01622 696977 - mark.cheverton@kent.gov.uk
Support documents None.

Responsible Cabinet Member - Cabinet

Reference No: 12/01931

Key No

Section 1 – the decision needed, how it relates to Bold Steps for Kent (BS4K) and the Corporate Objectives of the Council/ What is the impact, costs and risks involved. With this proposed decision.

Title:

NEW - Policy for the use of mirrors on the Highway in Kent - 12/01931

The Decision needed:

Agree an updated policy on the use of mirrors on the highway in Kent.

How the decision relates to BS4K and Corporate Objectives:

As part of Driving economic prosperity in BS4K it refers to delivering the priorities set out in our integrated transport strategy *Growth Without Gridlock (GWG)*. Within GWG road safety is stated as a constant priority for central and local government.

Impact/costs and risks of proposed decision:

Currently KCC do not support the use of mirrors on the Highway despite many other Highway Authorities allowing them. Limited use of mirrors at specific locations may assist in delivering our key objective of reducing road casualties.

Section 2 – Who is taking the final decision and when

Who is taking the Decision

Cabinet

Date:

Not before July 2012

Reason if Key Decision

N/a

Reason if this decision has been delayed/withdrawn from a previous plan

N/a

Section 3 – Who is to be consulted? If no please provide reasons?

Please state if there are any additional legal requirements to consult other than those stated in the Local Government Act 1999 - Best Value Duty.

None

Aims and level(s) of consultation (*KCC consultation levels attached*)

Gather Information from key stakeholders on proposed policy

Key consultee groups

Kent Police

Start and finish dates of consultation.

tbc

Equalities Impact Assessment included in the consultation documentation. Please state where this can be found.

tbc

Date of publication of consultation outcomes and updated Equalities Impact Assessment

Informing Only (Who and when)

Has the matter already been discussed by a Cabinet Committee? If not when will be it discussed by the Cabinet Committee and the date of the meeting

20 September 2012

Is the matter referred to in your Business Plan or Medium Term Capital Programme?

Key priority of improving road safety is within Business Plan and proposed policy will assist with this priority.

Which Members and electoral Divisions are affected by this proposed decision? Is it All Members?

All Members

Section 4 – Responsible Officer – Who to contact for more information.

Your name, Your Service, Your phone number and email address:

Andy Corcoran, Traffic Schemes & Member Highway Fund Manager

Support documents

None.

<p>Responsible Cabinet Member - Cabinet</p> <p>Reference No: 12/01903</p> <p>Key Yes</p>
<p>Section 1 – the decision needed, how it relates to Bold Steps for Kent (BS4K) and the Corporate Objectives of the Council/ What is the impact, costs and risks involved. With this proposed decision.</p>
<p>Title: Revenue Budget Roll Forward - 12/01903</p> <p>The Decision needed: Decision on the use of roll forward of 2011-12 revenue budget under/ overspends.</p> <p>How the decision relates to BS4K and Corporate Objectives To ensure that roll forward is spent on the Council's priorities</p> <p>Impact/costs and risks of proposed decision None</p>
<p>Section 2 – Who is taking the final decision and when</p>
<p>Who is taking the Decision Cabinet</p> <p>Date: July 2012</p> <p>Reason if Key Decision Decision on plans for roll forward of revenue budget under/overspends, which in total will exceed £1m</p> <p>Reason if this decision has been delayed/withdrawn from a previous plan N/a</p>
<p>Section 3 – Who is to be consulted? If no please provide reasons?</p> <p>Please state if there are any additional legal requirements to consult other than those stated in the Local Government Act 1999 - Best Value Duty.</p> <p>N/A</p> <p>Aims and level(s) of consultation (<i>KCC consultation levels attached</i>)</p> <p>N/A</p> <p>Key consultee groups</p>

N/A – Internal only

Start and finish dates of consultation.

N/A

Equalities Impact Assessment included in the consultation documentation. Please state where this can be found.

N/A – there is no consultation documentation

Date of publication of consultation outcomes and updated Equalities Impact Assessment

N/A

Informing Only (Who and when)

N/A

Has the matter already been discussed by a Cabinet Committee? If not when will be it discussed by the Cabinet Committee and the date of the meeting

No, to be discussed at either the June or July Cabinet meeting (*as there is no suitable Policy & Resources Cabinet Committee during this period – the May meeting is too early and the July meeting is too late as is after the July Cabinet*)

Is the matter referred to in your Business Plan or Medium Term Capital Programme?

N/A

Which Members and electoral Divisions are affected by this proposed decision? Is it All Members?

All Members

Section 4 – Responsible Officer – Who to contact for more information.

Your name, Your Service, Your phone number and email address:

Andy Wood, Corporate Director of Finance & Procurement,
01622 694622, andy.wood@kent.gov.uk

Support documents

2011-12 outturn report to be taken to 9 July 12 Cabinet meeting (or possibly a high level policy decision to be taken at June Cabinet based on provisional outturn figures)

Responsible Cabinet Member - Cabinet

Reference No: 12/01910

Key Yes

Section 1 – the decision needed, how it relates to Bold Steps for Kent (BS4K) and the Corporate Objectives of the Council/ What is the impact, costs and risks involved. With this proposed decision.

Title:

The acquisition and investment of properties relating to the Margate Housing Intervention Programme - 12/01910

The Decision needed:

The Approval will outline the delivery mechanisms lead by the Director of Property & Infrastructure to acquire residential properties, refurbish properties, new build, disposals and letting out residential properties as part of the Margate Housing Intervention programme. The Approval will seek the appropriate route, subject to Procurement Board advice on the most cost effective procurement route to refurbish properties and to invest £10 million as outlined in the Capital Programme and Budget Book.

How the decision relates to BS4K and Corporate Objectives

This decision meets a number of the 16 priorities in delivering Bold Steps including providing housing growth that is affordable, sustainable and with the appropriate infrastructure by using KCC's surplus assets to deliver this when there is market uncertainty with the delivery of new housing stock in Kent. The organisation will also be helping to Thanet DC with Margate Taskforce with wider scale regeneration of Margate. The proposal will seek to deliver housing regeneration and mixed tenure housing and this is a key part of Bold Steps for Housing strategy. The proposal will provide construction jobs for key businesses in Kent and provide a platform for job opportunities for local people.

Impact/costs and risks of proposed decision

The impact, costs and risks will be different for each property acquired but the project management of the project will report to the proposed Margate Delivery Board and Margate Executive Group so the impact of the programme can be aligned to wider Margate regeneration proposals

Section 2 – Who is taking the final decision and when

Who is taking the Decision

Cabinet

Date:

July 2012

Reason if Key Decision

The proposal will provide a focused programme of housing regeneration of a specific area in Margate but it is important that it is aligned with other public agencies, including KCC intervention strategies.

Reason if this decision has been delayed/withdrawn from a previous plan

Not Applicable

Notify all Members of the Council but particularly the ward members where these sites are located.

Section 3 – Who is to be consulted? If no please provide reasons?

Please state if there are any additional legal requirements to consult other than those stated in the Local Government Act 1999 - Best Value Duty.

KCC is required to secure best value and therefore independent valuations will be undertaken to verify the final terms agreed will meet this criteria

Aims and level(s) of consultation (*KCC consultation levels attached*)

NA

Key consultee groups

KCC and TDC members

Start and finish dates of consultation.

NA

Equalities Impact Assessment included in the consultation documentation. Please state where this can be found.

NA

Date of publication of consultation outcomes and updated Equalities Impact Assessment

NA

Informing Only

NA

Has the matter already been discussed by a Cabinet Committee? If not when will be it discussed by the Cabinet Committee and the date of the meeting

No

Is the matter referred to in your Business Plan or Medium Term Capital Programme?

The Budget Book refers to capital programme and the allocated funds for this programme but there is no specific reference to the acquisition details of particular properties.

Which Members and electoral Divisions are affected by this proposed decision? Is it All Members?

All Members

Section 4 – Responsible Officer – Who to contact for more information.

Your name, Your Service, Your phone number and email address:

Rebecca Spore – Director of Property & Infrastructure Support.
01622 221151 - Rebecca.spore@kent.gov.uk

Support documents

Bold Steps for Housing

Responsible Cabinet Member - Cabinet

Reference No: 12/01900

Key Yes

Section 1 – the decision needed, how it relates to Bold Steps for Kent (BS4K) and the Corporate Objectives of the Council/ What is the impact, costs and risks involved. With this proposed decision.

Title:

Wilmington Academy, Common Lane, Wilmington, Dartford, Kent, DA2 7DR - 12/01900

The Decision needed:

Approval to submit Final Business Case to DfE & Approval to enter into the necessary contracts with the Academy, and Building Contractor

How the decision relates to BS4K and Corporate Objectives

This decision is needed in to progress KCC's Batch 2 Academies Programme. It directly supports 3 of the Bold Steps for Kent. Firstly, it perpetuates the target that all pupils meet their full potential. Secondly, it promotes Kent's cultural and sporting offer through the first class facilities which will be delivered. Thirdly, it builds relations with key business sectors across Kent, as the supply chain involved in such a project provides work to businesses of all sizes

Impact/costs and risks of proposed decision

The impact of the decision is that the project will be able to submit the Final Business Case, and enter into the necessary contracts with the Academy and the Building. The budget for the project is £16.7million. The risks will be set out in the paper intended to support Cabinet in taking this decision.

Section 2 – Who is taking the final decision and when

Who is taking the Decision

Cabinet

Date:

July 2012

Reason if Key Decision

Significant service developments, reduction, changes in delivery

Reason if this decision has been delayed/withdrawn from a previous plan

N/a

Section 3 – Who is to be consulted? If no please provide reasons?

Please state if there are any additional legal requirements to consult other than those stated in the Local Government Act 1999 - Best Value Duty.

There are no additional requirements to consult. Cabinet should note that as part of the detailed planning application, two public exhibitions were held in order that members of the public could view, and comment upon, the proposals.

Aims and level(s) of consultation (*KCC consultation levels attached*)

NA

Key consultee groups

NA

Start and finish dates of consultation.

NA

Equalities Impact Assessment included in the consultation documentation. Please state where this can be found.

NA

Date of publication of consultation outcomes and updated Equalities Impact Assessment

NA

Informing Only (Who and when)

NA

Has the matter already been discussed by a Cabinet Committee? If not when will be it discussed by the Cabinet Committee and the date of the meeting

No

Is the matter referred to in your Business Plan or Medium Term Capital Programme?

Yes - The matter is referred to in the Medium Term Plan.

Yes – The matter is referred to in the capital section of the Property & Infrastructure Support 2012/13 Business Plan as a Batch 2 Academy that will achieve contract completion and start of works.

Which Members and electoral Divisions are affected by this proposed decision? Is it All Members?

Mike Whitting, and Roger Gough in their capacities as Cabinet Members, and Ann Allen in her capacity as ward member.

Section 4 – Responsible Officer – Who to contact for more information.

Your name, Your Service, Your phone number and email address:

Rebecca Spore, Director of Property and Infrastructure Support
Rebecca.spore@kent.gov.uk
01622 221151

Support documents

P&IS Business Plan

Responsible Cabinet Member - Cabinet

Reference No: 12/01904

Key Yes

Section 1 – the decision needed, how it relates to Bold Steps for Kent (BS4K) and the Corporate Objectives of the Council/ What is the impact, costs and risks involved. With this proposed decision.

Title:

Excellent Homes for All - 12/01904

The Decision needed:

On 15 September 2008 Cabinet approved a report recommending the submission of an Outline Business Case for the Excellent Homes For All housing PFI project and the progression of its procurement.

This report updates Cabinet on the project which is being procured in partnership with five District Council authorities and will result in the provision of at least 220 new homes for vulnerable people in Kent.

This report requests Cabinet to approve:

- Delegated Authority to the Corporate Director for Families and Social Care (or other nominated officer), in consultation with the Cabinet Member for Adult Social Care and Public Health, to sign the contract documentation including the Project Agreement which will enable the project to become operational;
- Delegated Authority to the Corporate Director for Families and Social Care (or other nominated officer), in consultation with the Cabinet Member for Adult Social Care and Public Health, to sign the Back to Back Agreement to share the risks and benefits of the project with our District Council partners;
- The use of the designated sites for the project
- Approval for the required Authority contribution for the duration of the contract.

How the decision relates to BS4K and Corporate Objectives

The project is in line with KCC strategies and in particular supports the following:

Bold Steps for Kent Priorities:

- 2 - Support the transformation of health and social care in Kent
- 8 - Respond to key regeneration challenges working with our partners
- 9 - Support new housing growth that is affordable, sustainable and with the appropriate infrastructure
- 15 - Improve services for the most vulnerable people in Kent

'Better Homes: Localism, Aspiration and Choice'. Kent's Housing Strategy states as an ambition: *"to support vulnerable people in housing need to fulfil*

their potential and live a high quality life through the provision of excellent housing and support services”.

Living Later Life to the Full, identifies planning for future housing needs as key to achieving local older people’s aspirations for later life, and the need for additional extra care housing is explicit in the Framework.

Active Lives 2006 - 2016’ commits to providing innovative schemes that support vulnerable people to live in their own homes. The policy states that the County will continue to lead partnerships to deliver major supported housing projects and identifies public private partnerships as an appropriate vehicle.

Impact/costs and risks of proposed decision

The decision would approve the signing of the PFI Project Agreement, subject to their being no significant changes to the affordability of the deal and the risk profile prior to financial and contractual close.

The current status would commit the Authority to a 25 year contract with a contractor who will design, build, finance and operate at least 220 apartments of extra care and supported housing across five districts. The Authority would receive approximately £66.8 million PFI credits to cover the cost of the project, but would be committed to a contribution towards the operation of the facilities.

The majority of risks associated with developing and running the facilities are borne by the contractor under the PFI arrangement (e.g design risk, demand risk) however some risks such as un-insurability or contract termination are shared and this is set out in detail in the report.

Section 2 – Who is taking the final decision and when

Who is taking the Decision

Cabinet

Date:

July 2012

Reason if Key Decision

In order for the project to secure £75 million PFI credits from Central Government for the Excellent Homes for All PFI project, the Cabinet must approve the submission of the Final Business Case and the signing of the Project Agreement and associated documents, and the Back to Back Agreement.

Reason if this decision has been delayed/withdrawn from a previous plan

The project procurement has been delayed whilst we await the outcome of a government national 'value for money review' of housing PFI.

Section 3 – Who is to be consulted? If no please provide reasons?

Please state if there are any additional legal requirements to consult other than those stated in the Local Government Act 1999 - Best Value Duty.

Aims and level(s) of consultation

The decision to undertake the procurement was undertaken following Cabinet approval in 2009 and the project initiated at that stage. Its scope can not be significantly amended following tender.

Local Members and neighbours of the facilities to be developed will be consulted again as part of the planning process for the new housing.

This consultation will be to 'Gather Information' and 'Gather Opinions'.

Key consultee groups

Cabinet Member for Adult Social Care and Public Health

Local Members

District Council Partners

Start and finish dates of consultation.

The Cabinet Member for Adult Social Care and Public Health is updated and consulted at all key stages in the procurement.

Local Members were consulted at the inception of the project (prior to the previous Cabinet Report in 2009, and also the Outline Planning for the housing). They will be updated prior to the Cabinet meeting on 11 June 2012.

Further consultation with Local Members will be undertaken as part of the full planning process – this will occur from October 2012 to December 2012.

Equalities Impact Assessment included in the consultation documentation. Please state where this can be found.

When the project was originally scoped and advertised there was no legislative requirement to undertake an equality impact assessment (EIA). However an EIA screening has now been completed and the documentation and specification has been reviewed in line with this.

The EIA is available from the Equalities and Diversity Team diversityinfo@kent.gov.uk or from the Project Manager.

Date of publication of consultation outcomes and updated Equalities Impact Assessment

Informing Only (Who and when)

Prior to consideration by Cabinet copies will be sent to:
Corporate Director of Finance and Procurement
Director of Property and Infrastructure Support
Adult Services and Public Health Policy Overview and Scrutiny Committee
Director of Law and Governance

Has the matter already been discussed by a Cabinet Committee? If not when will be it discussed by the Cabinet Committee and the date of the meeting

A report on “Better Homes Active Lives” and “Excellent Homes for All” Housing PFIs was presented to the Adult Social Services Policy Overview Committee, 1 April 2009 (Item B7). The matter will also be discussed at the Social Care and Public Health Cabinet Committee 10 May 2012.

Is the matter referred to in your Business Plan or Medium Term Capital Programme?

It is in the Medium Term Capital Programme.

Which Members and electoral Divisions are affected by this proposed decision? Is it All Members?

Cabinet Member for Adult Social Care and Public Health

Local Members for the following wards:

Tenterden

Dover West

Ramsgate

Dartford East

Ashford South

Meetings will be offered to individual Members prior to consideration by Cabinet.

Section 4 – Responsible Officer – Who to contact for more information.

Your name, Your Service, Your phone number and email address:

Sara Naylor - Project Manager - PFI and PPP Customer & Communities

Tel: 01622 221184, Email: sara.naylor@kent.gov.uk

Support documents

None.

Responsible Cabinet Member - Cabinet

Reference No: 12/01898

Key Yes

Section 1 – the decision needed, how it relates to Bold Steps for Kent (BS4K) and the Corporate Objectives of the Council/ What is the impact, costs and risks involved. With this proposed decision.

Title:

The Knole Academy, Bradbourne Vale Road, Sevenoaks, Kent, TN13 3LE - 12/01898

The Decision needed:

Approval to submit Final Business Case to DfE & Approval to enter into the necessary contracts with the Academy, and Building Contractor

How the decision relates to BS4K and Corporate Objectives

This decision is needed in to progress KCC's Batch 2 Academies Programme. It directly supports 3 of the Bold Steps for Kent. Firstly, it perpetuates the target that all pupils meet their full potential. Secondly, it promotes Kent's cultural and sporting offer through the first class facilities which will be delivered. Thirdly, it builds relations with key business sectors across Kent, as the supply chain involved in such a project provides work to businesses of all sizes

Impact/costs and risks of proposed decision

The impact of the decision is that the project will be able to submit the Final Business Case, and enter into the necessary contracts with the Academy and the Building. The budget for the project is £16.7million. The risks will be set out in the paper intended to support Cabinet in taking this decision.

Section 2 – Who is taking the final decision and when

Who is taking the Decision

Cabinet

Date:

July 2012

Reason if Key Decision

Significant service developments, reduction, changes in delivery

Reason if this decision has been delayed/withdrawn from a previous plan

N/a

Section 3 – Who is to be consulted? If no please provide reasons?

Please state if there are any additional legal requirements to consult other than those stated in the Local Government Act 1999 - Best Value Duty.

There are no additional requirements to consult. Cabinet should note that as part of the detailed planning application, two public exhibitions were held in order that members of the public could view, and comment upon, the proposals.

Aims and level(s) of consultation (*KCC consultation levels attached*)

NA

Key consultee groups

NA

Start and finish dates of consultation.

NA

Equalities Impact Assessment included in the consultation documentation. Please state where this can be found.

NA

Date of publication of consultation outcomes and updated Equalities Impact Assessment

NA

Informing Only (Who and when)

NA

Has the matter already been discussed by a Cabinet Committee? If not when will be it discussed by the Cabinet Committee and the date of the meeting

No

Is the matter referred to in your Business Plan or Medium Term Capital Programme?

Yes - The matter is referred to in the Medium Term Plan.

Yes – The matter is referred to in the capital section of the Property & Infrastructure Support 2012/13 Business Plan as a Batch 2 Academy that will achieve contract completion and start of works.

Which Members and electoral Divisions are affected by this proposed decision? Is it All Members?

Mike Whitting, and Roger Gough in their capacities as Cabinet Members, and Nick Chard in his capacity as ward member.

Section 4 – Responsible Officer – Who to contact for more information.

Your name, Your Service, Your phone number and email address:

Rebecca Spore, Director of Property and Infrastructure Support
Rebecca.spore@kent.gov.uk - 01622 221151

Support documents

P&IS Business Plan

JULY BY INDIVIDUAL CABINET MEMBER

<p>Responsible Cabinet Member - Cabinet Member for Adult Social Care & Public Health</p> <p>Reference No: 12/01880</p> <p>Key Yes</p>
<p>Section 1 – the decision needed, how it relates to Bold Steps for Kent (BS4K) and the Corporate Objectives of the Council/ What is the impact, costs and risks involved. With this proposed decision.</p>
<p>Title: Restructure of Learning Disability In-House Day Services and Tender of Four Potential Social Enterprises - 12/01880</p> <p>The Decision needed: The Cabinet Member is asked to agree to:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">The Restructure of Learning Disability In-House Day Services in parallel with the ongoing Good Day Programme modernisation of day services;The Proposed Tender of four services with the potential to develop into independent community based services <p>How the decision relates to BS4K and Corporate Objectives BS4K Priority 15: Improve services for the most vulnerable people in Kent</p> <p>Impact/costs and risks of proposed decision Impact - Staff restructure and potential staff TUPE to an external organisation, Cost neutral minus the MTFP PID savings. Risks – detail in EIA no identified significant risk</p>
<p>Section 2 – Who is taking the final decision and when</p>
<p>Who is taking the Decision Cabinet Member for Adult Social Care & Public Health</p> <p>Date: Between July 2012 and August 2012</p> <p>Reason if Key Decision The need to restructure a staff team that are currently working to a traditional day care model but are transforming across the county within the Good Day Programme into a new service model and the staff structure needs to reflect this.</p> <p>Reason if this decision has been delayed/withdrawn from a previous plan N/a</p>
<p>Section 3 – Who is to be consulted? If no please provide reasons?</p>

Please state if there are any additional legal requirements to consult other than those stated in the Local Government Act 1999 - Best Value Duty.

TUPE of staff

Aims and level(s) of consultation

Aim is to complete a formal consultation on the new proposed staff structure. Formal consultation to all staff and for the 4 potential services that may be outsourced all stakeholders service users and clients involved in these four services. Gather Opinions

Key consultee groups

Core staff in LD Day Care In-House service across the county and service users and carers who attend the four services with the potential to develop into independent community based services

Start and finish dates of consultation.

16 March 2012 – 25 June 2012

Equalities Impact Assessment included in the consultation documentation. Please state where this can be found.

Registered on KNET

Date of publication of consultation outcomes and updated Equalities Impact Assessment

Tbc

Informing Only (Who and when)

Service users and carers of staff attending the day services and stakeholders of the four community services. All the dates for these are set out in the consultation pack

Has the matter already been discussed by a Cabinet Committee? If not when will be it discussed by the Cabinet Committee and the date of the meeting

No. 12 July 2012

Is the matter referred to in your Business Plan or Medium Term Capital Programme?

Yes

Which Members and electoral Divisions are affected by this proposed decision? Is it All Members?

County wide service so will impact on all electoral wards

Section 4 – Responsible Officer – Who to contact for more information.

Your name, Your Service, Your phone number and email address:

Penny Southern - Interim Director Learning Disabilities and Mental Health
penny.southern@kent.gov.uk - 01622 694888

Support documents

None.

<p>Responsible Cabinet Member - Cabinet Member for Adult Social Care & Public Health</p> <p>Reference No: 12/01917</p> <p>Key Yes</p>
<p>Section 1 – the decision needed, how it relates to Bold Steps for Kent (BS4K) and the Corporate Objectives of the Council/ What is the impact, costs and risks involved. With this proposed decision.</p>
<p>Title: NHS Health Checks Programme - 12/01917</p> <p>The Decision needed: To agree proposals to commission the NHS Health Checks Programme in Kent following the allocation of extra funding from the NHS</p> <p>How the decision relates to BS4K and Corporate Objectives The NHS Health Checks Programme is an important component of the public health programme in Kent and across the country. It will support all the KCC objectives of improving the health of people in Kent, and helping prevent ill-health and the onset of long-term conditions, thereby reducing demand for health and social care services.</p> <p>Impact/costs and risks of proposed decision Extra funding amounts to 1.9 million which will enable Kent to benefit from a properly funded comprehensive programme of Health Checks for the first time. Undue delays or failure to allocate the funding could result in its withdrawal.</p>
<p>Section 2 – Who is taking the final decision and when</p>
<p>Who is taking the Decision Cabinet Member for Adult Social Care & Public Health</p> <p>Date: July 2012</p> <p>Reason if Key Decision The Health Checks Programme budget is well in excess of £1 million and it is designed to operate across the whole of Kent. It is a major expansion of an existing service.</p> <p>Reason if this decision has been delayed/withdrawn from a previous plan N/a</p>
<p>Section 3 – Who is to be consulted? If no please provide reasons?</p>
<p>Please state if there are any additional legal requirements to consult other than those stated in the Local Government Act 1999 - Best Value Duty.</p>

N/a – This is a government requirement and the proposal is an extension of an existing programme.

Aims and level(s) of consultation (*KCC consultation levels attached*)

N/a

Key consultee groups

N/a

Start and finish dates of consultation.

N/a

Equalities Impact Assessment included in the consultation documentation. Please state where this can be found.

N/a

Date of publication of consultation outcomes and updated Equalities Impact Assessment

N/a

Informing Only (Who and when)

N/a

Has the matter already been discussed by a Cabinet Committee? If not when will be it discussed by the Cabinet Committee and the date of the meeting

To be discussed at the Social Care and Public Health Cabinet Committee 12 July

Is the matter referred to in your Business Plan or Medium Term Capital Programme?

Reference to expansion of the Health Checks Programme is contained in the Public Health Business Plan

Which Members and electoral Divisions are affected by this proposed decision? Is it All Members?

All Members

Section 4 – Responsible Officer – Who to contact for more information.

Your name, Your Service, Your phone number and email address:

Andrew Scott-Clark – Director of Health Improvement (KCC)

NHS Kent and Medway

012336 18377

07881812165

Support documents

None.

Responsible Cabinet Member - Cabinet Member for Business Strategy, Performance & Health Reform

Reference No: 12/01837

Key Yes

Section 1 – the decision needed, how it relates to Bold Steps for Kent (BS4K) and the Corporate Objectives of the Council/ What is the impact, costs and risks involved. With this proposed decision.

Title:

Procurement of property vehicle for surplus land owned by Kent County Council to allow the provision of new operational facilities in each district as part of asset collaboration - 12/01837

The Decision needed:

To delegate to the Individual Cabinet portfolio holder and the Director of Property & Infrastructure Support the procurement of a specialist property vehicle to allow realise of surplus land to fund new operational facilities.

How the decision relates to BS4K and Corporate Objectives

The decision is needed to progress the disposal of KCC assets with the delivery of new buildings. The proposal directly links to Bold Steps for Kent. The property vehicle will ensure it meets a number of key priorities in the Bold Steps for Kent, delivering framework including (1) Improve how we procure and commission services, (7) Build a strong relationship with key business sectors across Kent, (9) Support new housing growth that is affordable, sustainable and with the appropriate infrastructure and (15) Improve services for the most vulnerable people in Kent.

Impact/costs and risks of proposed decision

The risks will be set out in the paper intended to support Cabinet in taking this decision.

Section 2 – Who is taking the final decision and when

Who is taking the Decision

Cabinet Member for Business Strategy, Performance & Health Reform

Date:

Not before July 2012

Reason if Key Decision

This proposal seeks to combine surplus assets where appropriate to market them for private sector developers to drive value from these assets but in turn through the OJEU process new operational facilities can be provided. A key decision is required as the new facilities will be from a number of frontline

service directorates.

Reason if this decision has been delayed/withdrawn from a previous plan

N/a

Section 3 – Who is to be consulted? If no please provide reasons?

Please state if there are any additional legal requirements to consult other than those stated in the Local Government Act 1999 - Best Value Duty.

Please state if there are any additional legal requirements to consult other than those stated in the Local Government Act 1999 - Best Value Duty.

Not applicable

Aims and level(s) of consultation (*KCC consultation levels attached*)

Not applicable

Key consultee groups

Not applicable

Start and finish dates of consultation.

Not applicable

Equalities Impact Assessment included in the consultation documentation. Please state where this can be found.

Not applicable

Date of publication of consultation outcomes and updated Equalities Impact Assessment

Not applicable

Informing Only (Who and when)

Not applicable

Has the matter already been discussed by a Cabinet Committee? If not when will be it discussed by the Cabinet Committee and the date of the meeting
June 2012

Is the matter referred to in your Business Plan or Medium Term Capital Programme?

There has been previous mention of the disposal strategy proposals in Property & Infrastructure Support Business Plan in 2011 but since its publication there has been a need to link disposals to future service provision.

Which Members and electoral Divisions are affected by this proposed decision? Is it All Members?

Roger Gough

Section 4 – Responsible Officer – Who to contact for more information.

Your name, Your Service, Your phone number and email address:

Rebecca Spore, Director of Property & Infrastructure Support
Rebecca.spore@kent.gov.uk

Support documents

Property & Infrastructure Support Business Plan 2011

Responsible Cabinet Member - Cabinet Member for Business Strategy, Performance & Health Reform

Reference No: 12/01836

Key Yes

Section 1 – the decision needed, how it relates to Bold Steps for Kent (BS4K) and the Corporate Objectives of the Council/ What is the impact, costs and risks involved. With this proposed decision.

Title:

Finalising the procurement of external services in the day to day management of Oakwood House - 12/01836

The Decision needed:

To delegate to the Individual Cabinet portfolio holder and the Director of Property & Infrastructure Support the procurement of external advice and services associated with the day to day service provision and the evolution of service provision at Oakwood House.

How the decision relates to BS4K and Corporate Objectives

To build a strong relationship with key businesses in Kent, Promote Kent and improve the cultural offer for residents as well as improving how KCC procure and commission services.

Impact/costs and risks of proposed decision

The risks will be set out in the paper intended to support Cabinet in taking this decision.

Section 2 – Who is taking the final decision and when

Who is taking the Decision

Cabinet Member for Business Strategy, Performance & Health Reform

Date:

Not before July 2012

Reason if Key Decision

The facility is used by a number of KCC service users and therefore the forward service delivery will need to take into account KCC service provision as well as external service provision. It is proposed specialist provider will be selected via a formal procurement process

Reason if this decision has been delayed/withdrawn from a previous plan

N/a

Section 3 – Who is to be consulted? If no please provide reasons?

Please state if there are any additional legal requirements to consult other

than those stated in the Local Government Act 1999 - Best Value Duty.

Not applicable

Aims and level(s) of consultation (*KCC consultation levels attached*)

Not applicable

Key consultee groups

Not applicable

Start and finish dates of consultation.

Not applicable

Equalities Impact Assessment included in the consultation documentation. Please state where this can be found.

Not applicable

Date of publication of consultation outcomes and updated Equalities Impact Assessment

Not applicable

Informing Only (Who and when)

Not applicable

Has the matter already been discussed by a Cabinet Committee? If not when will be it discussed by the Cabinet Committee and the date of the meeting
June 2012

Is the matter referred to in your Business Plan or Medium Term Capital Programme?

There has been previous mention of the future service provision in Property & Infrastructure Support Business Plan in 2011 but since its publication there has been a revision of the proposed contract requirements.

Which Members and electoral Divisions are affected by this proposed decision? Is it All Members?

Roger Gough

Section 4 – Responsible Officer – Who to contact for more information.

Your name, Your Service, Your phone number and email address:

Terry Whitlock, Operations Manager, Property & Infrastructure Support.
Terry.whitlock@kent.gov.uk

Support documents

Property & Infrastructure Support Business Plan 2011

<p>Responsible Cabinet Member - Cabinet Member for Customer & Communities</p> <p>Reference No: 12/01916</p> <p>Key No</p>
<p>Section 1 – the decision needed, how it relates to Bold Steps for Kent (BS4K) and the Corporate Objectives of the Council/ What is the impact, costs and risks involved. With this proposed decision.</p>
<p>Title: Delegation to Kent and Medway Fire Authority of Duties and responsibilities under the Safety at Sports Grounds Act 1975, the Fire Safety and Safety of Places of Sport Act 1987 and the Safety of Sports Grounds Regulations 1987 - 12/01916</p> <p>The Decision needed: The Leader of Kent County Council is asked to: Approve the delegation to Kent and Medway Fire Authority of KCC's duties and responsibilities under the Safety at Sports Grounds Act 1975, the Fire Safety and Safety of Places of Sport Act 1987 and the Safety of Sports Grounds Regulations 1987 and confirm that this delegation is retrospective to 1 April 1998.</p> <p>How the decision relates to BS4K and Corporate Objectives Ensures KCC abides by Health & Safety regulations and government legislation.</p> <p>Impact/costs and risks of proposed decision None</p>
<p>Section 2 – Who is taking the final decision and when</p>
<p>Who is taking the Decision Cabinet Member for Customer & Communities</p> <p>Date: July 2012</p> <p>Reason if Key Decision N/a</p> <p>Reason if this decision has been delayed/withdrawn from a previous plan N/a</p>
<p>Section 3 – Who is to be consulted? If no please provide reasons?</p>
<p>Please state if there are any additional legal requirements to consult other than those stated in the Local Government Act 1999 - Best Value Duty.</p>

N/A

Aims and level(s) of consultation (KCC consultation levels attached)

N/A

Key consultee groups

N/A

Start and finish dates of consultation.

N/A

Equalities Impact Assessment included in the consultation documentation. Please state where this can be found.

N/A

Date of publication of consultation outcomes and updated Equalities Impact Assessment

N/A

Informing Only (Who and when)

Kent & Medway Fire and Rescue Authority – following decision in July 2012

Has the matter already been discussed by a Cabinet Committee? If not when will it be discussed by the Cabinet Committee and the date of the meeting
6 July 2012

Is the matter referred to in your Business Plan or Medium Term Capital Programme?

No

Which Members and electoral Divisions are affected by this proposed decision? Is it All Members?

All electoral divisions

Section 4 – Responsible Officer – Who to contact for more information.

Your name, Your Service, Your phone number and email address:

Mark Radford. mark.radford@kent.gov.uk (01622) 694301

Chris Metherell. chris.metherell@kent.gov.uk (01622) 605004

Support documents

Delegation to Kent and Medway Fire Authority of duties and responsibilities under the Safety at Sports Grounds Act 1975, the Fire Safety and Safety of Places of Sport Act 1987 and the Safety of Sports Grounds Regulations 1987

Responsible Cabinet Member - Cabinet Member for Customer & Communities

Reference No: 12/01939

Key Yes

Section 1 – the decision needed, how it relates to Bold Steps for Kent (BS4K) and the Corporate Objectives of the Council/ What is the impact, costs and risks involved. With this proposed decision.

Title:

New - The localisation of the Discretionary Social Fund from April 2013 - 12/01939

The Decision needed:

The DWP currently runs a discretionary scheme of Community Care Grants and Crisis Loans which are part of the Social Fund. From April 2013 these schemes will cease and part of the funding transferred to local authorities (upper tier in two-tier authorities) so that they can design their own local schemes to meet the need in their areas. Decisions will be needed on the following:

1. How the devolved budget is to be used within Kent.
2. How the local scheme/s should operate.
3. The preferred delivery model including any procurement issues

How the decision relates to BS4K and Corporate Objectives

The reform fits with Ambition 2 “To Tackle Disadvantage” and gives Kent an opportunity to try to deal with the need in a more effective way. The reform will impact on a much wider group of people than currently access Children’s Social Services and Adult Social Services. Developing an effective scheme can, therefore, also play an important role in the preventative and early intervention strategies of these services.

Impact/costs and risks of proposed decision

1. The spend on the Social Fund is being driven down in advance of the transfer. There is a danger, therefore, that the budget will be insufficient for demand particularly in the current economic climate and in the context of wider benefit cuts.
2. If an effective replacement scheme is not developed there is likely to be a serious gap in provision of support to vulnerable people.
3. Risks associated with taking on a devolved responsibility.

Section 2 – Who is taking the final decision and when

Who is taking the Decision

Cabinet Member for Customer & Communities

Date:

Not before July 2012

Reason if Key Decision

This needs to be a Key Decision due to the potential impact on the community and the fact that the budget exceeds £1 million per year.

Reason if this decision has been delayed/withdrawn from a previous plan

N/A

Notify all Members of the Council: **YES**

Section 3 – Who is to be consulted? If no please provide reasons?

Please state if there are any additional legal requirements to consult other than those stated in the Local Government Act 1999 - Best Value Duty.

No extra specific legal duty as presently understood.

Aims and level(s) of consultation (*KCC consultation levels attached*)

Consultation with key partners is taking place to raise awareness of the changes and to inform the decision on options for the scheme and its delivery.

Key consultee groups

To date: district/borough councils; non-statutory and voluntary organisations; relevant KCC staff.

Start and finish dates of consultation.

Informal – December 2011 to date. Formal – to be confirmed.

Equalities Impact Assessment included in the consultation documentation. Please state where this can be found.

To be confirmed.

Date of publication of consultation outcomes and updated Equalities Impact Assessment

To be confirmed.

Has the matter already been discussed by a Cabinet Committee? If not when will be it discussed by the Cabinet Committee and the date of the meeting

Not yet discussed by a Customer and Communities Committee; date to be confirmed.

Is the matter referred to in your Business Plan or Medium Term Capital Programme? **NO**

Which Members and electoral Divisions are affected by this proposed decision? Is it All Members?

Yes – all Members

Section 4 – Responsible Officer – Who to contact for more information.

Your name, Your Service, Your phone number and email address:

Christine Grosskopf, Business Strategy, KCC

Phone: 01622 696611 (7000 6611).

Email: chris.grosskopf@kent.gov.uk

Support documents

None.

Responsible Cabinet Member - Cabinet Member for Education, Learning & Skills

Reference No: 12/01937

Key No

Section 1 – the decision needed, how it relates to Bold Steps for Kent (BS4K) and the Corporate Objectives of the Council/ What is the impact, costs and risks involved. With this proposed decision.

Title:

NEW - Specialist Teaching Service Devolution - 12/01937

The Decision needed:

To agreed to the proposed model and staffing structure

How the decision relates to BS4K and Corporate Objectives

1. The project is in line with Kent strategies and in particular supports the following;
2. Bold Steps for Kent prioritises
3. Ensure all pupils meet their full potential
4. Shape education and skills provision around the needs of the Kent economy

Bold Steps for Education

Shape education and skills provision around the needs of the Kent economy
The education, Learning and Skills directorate will give particular priority to improving the skills and employability of 14 - 24 year olds, so that they make a good start to adult life and their potential is not lost to the Kent economy.

Section 2 – Who is taking the final decision and when

Who is taking the Decision

Cabinet Member for Education, Learning & Skills

Date:

July 2012

Reason if Key Decision

N/a

Reason if this decision has been delayed/withdrawn from a previous plan

N/a

Section 3 – Who is to be consulted? If no please provide reasons?

Please state if there are any additional legal requirements to consult other than those stated in the Local Government Act 1999 - Best Value Duty.

N/A

Aims and level(s) of consultation (*KCC consultation levels attached*)

N/A

Key consultee groups

N/A

Start and finish dates of consultation.

N/A

Equalities Impact Assessment included in the consultation documentation. Please state where this can be found

Date of publication of consultation outcomes and updated Equalities Impact Assessment

N/A

Informing Only (Who and when)

During the course of the Autumn Term 2011, discussions were held with Headteachers and other partners at a range of meetings to identify where we are and where we need to be, in terms of local, national and international performance. From this series of conversations we have been able to establish a good level of shared ambition and agreed a range of rapid priority improvements to achieve by 2015

Has the matter already been discussed by a Cabinet Committee? If not when will be it discussed by the Cabinet Committee and the date of the meeting
ELS Cabinet Committee on 9 May 2012

Is the matter referred to in your Business Plan or Medium Term Capital Programme?

Yes

Which Members and electoral Divisions are affected by this proposed decision? Is it All Members?

All Members

Section 4 – Responsible Officer – Who to contact for more information.

Your name, Your Service, Your phone number and email address:

Patrick Leeson - Corporate Director Education, Learning and Skills
01622 696550 - Patrick.leeson@kent.gov.uk

Support documents

None.

Responsible Cabinet Member - Cabinet Member for Education, Learning & Skills

Reference No: 12/01915

Key No

Section 1 – the decision needed, how it relates to Bold Steps for Kent (BS4K) and the Corporate Objectives of the Council/ What is the impact, costs and risks involved. With this proposed decision.

Title:

Modular Classroom Programme 2012-13 - 12/01915

The Decision needed:

Approval to spend is required for a programme of provision of modular classrooms to meet the need for additional school places for the September 12-13 academic year.

How the decision relates to BS4K and Corporate Objectives

One of the key strategic roles of the Local Authority is to ensure that there are sufficient education places of high quality to the children and young people of Kent.

By providing sufficient and appropriate school places the decision will support a range of the 16 BS4K priorities around the provision of education, specifically Priority 3 to ensure all pupils meet their full potential.

Impact/costs and risks of proposed decision

The impact of the decision is that the Local Authority will be able to proceed with the procurement and delivery of a programme of modular classrooms. The expected cost of the programme amounts to £4.6m. In not proceeding it will not be possible to provide appropriate school place provision for all school pupils in September

Section 2 – Who is taking the final decision and when

Who is taking the Decision

Cabinet Member for Education, Learning & Skills

Date:

July 2012

Reason if Key Decision

N/a

Reason if this decision has been delayed/withdrawn from a previous plan

N/A

Notify all Members of the Council

Section 3 – Who is to be consulted? If no please provide reasons?

Please state if there are any additional legal requirements to consult other than those stated in the Local Government Act 1999 - Best Value Duty.

There are no additional requirements to consult

Aims and level(s) of consultation (*KCC consultation levels attached*)

N/A

Key consultee groups

N/A

Start and finish dates of consultation.

N/A

Equalities Impact Assessment included in the consultation documentation. Please state where this can be found.

N/A

Date of publication of consultation outcomes and updated Equalities Impact Assessment

N/A

Informing Only (Who and when)

N/A

Has the matter already been discussed by a Cabinet Committee? If not when will be it discussed by the Cabinet Committee and the date of the meeting

No, but the matter has been discussed at the Member School Capital Group, a working group of the ELS Programme Board.

Is the matter referred to in your Business Plan or Medium Term Capital Programme?

Priority 4 13.2, ensure adequate planning for Education provision

Which Members and electoral Divisions are affected by this proposed decision? Is it All Members?

Local Members with schools receiving modular classrooms in their wards, Cabinet Member ELS and Cabinet Member Business Strategy and Support

Section 4 – Responsible Officer – Who to contact for more information.

Your name, Your Service, Your phone number and email address:

Bruce MacQuarrie, Property and Infrastructure Support, 01622 694796, bruce.macquarrie@kent.gov.uk

Support documents

P&IS Business Plan

Responsible Cabinet Member - Cabinet Member for Education, Learning & Skills

Reference No: 12/01938

Key No

Section 1 – the decision needed, how it relates to Bold Steps for Kent (BS4K) and the Corporate Objectives of the Council/ What is the impact, costs and risks involved. With this proposed decision.

Title:

NEW - Specialist Teaching Service Devolution - 12/01938

The Decision needed:

The project is in line with Kent strategies and in particular supports the following;

Bold Steps for Kent prioritises

- 1) Improve how we procure and Commission Services
- 2) Ensure all pupils meet their full potential
- 3): Shape education and skills provision around the needs of the Kent economy
- 4). Improve services for the most vulnerable people in Kent

Bold Steps for Education

How this relates to our priorities?

The vision for the new service delivery model is that it will enable children and young people in Kent to achieve better progress and outcomes than nationally, and that early intervention will lead to a reduction in the numbers of children and young people needing statements.

SEND Review

How does this relate to our priorities?

The aims of the SEND review mirror the priorities set out in the Prospectus to support the devolution of STS to special schools. The review also aims to bring together support available to schools in a coherent, locality based way, to ensure better early identification and timely coordinated responses and interventions where necessary

Impact/costs and risks of proposed decision

Service delivery will continue as now. The needs of children and young people will continue to drive the delivery model. There is little reduction in front line staff, as it is the management of the service that is being devolved to special schools and the 5.4FTE overall change comes from the current management structure.

Section 2 – Who is taking the final decision and when

Who is taking the Decision

Cabinet Member for Education, Learning & Skills

Date:

Not before July 2012

Reason if Key Decision

N/a

Reason if this decision has been delayed/withdrawn from a previous plan

N/a

Section 3 – Who is to be consulted? If no please provide reasons?

Please state if there are any additional legal requirements to consult other than those stated in the Local Government Act 1999 - Best Value Duty.

N/A

Aims and level(s) of consultation (*KCC consultation levels attached*)

Gather information regarding the planned changes outlined in the consultation document.

Key consultee groups

Early years providers, schools, Academies, SENCOs, Staff and Unions

Start and finish dates of consultation.

24 April to 24 May

Equalities Impact Assessment included in the consultation documentation. Please state where this can be found

<http://knet/Change/Pages/scs-restructure.aspx>

Date of publication of consultation outcomes and updated Equalities Impact Assessment

June / July

Informing Only (Who and when)

N/A

Has the matter already been discussed by a Cabinet Committee? It was agreed at Cabinet on 17 October 2011 and it will be discussed at ELS Cabinet Committee on 9 May 2012

Is the matter referred to in your Business Plan or Medium Term Capital Programme?

Yes

Which Members and electoral Divisions are affected by this proposed decision? Is it All Members?

All Members

Section 4 – Responsible Officer – Who to contact for more information.

Your name, Your Service, Your phone number and email address:

Alex Gamby - Head of Advocacy and Entitlement , 01622 221825 -
Alex.gamby@kent.gov.uk

Support documents - None.

Responsible Cabinet Member - Cabinet Member for Environment, Highways and Waste

Reference No: 12/01906

Key Yes

Section 1 – the decision needed, how it relates to Bold Steps for Kent (BS4K) and the Corporate Objectives of the Council/ What is the impact, costs and risks involved. With this proposed decision.

Title:

Highways and Transportation – Fees and Charges - 12/01906

The Decision needed:

Decision to increase a number of Fees & Charges for H&T services by the 'cost of living'

How the decision relates to BS4K and Corporate Objectives

By reducing the pressures and costs on service delivery to an absolute minimum and optimising the authority's income potential.

Impact/costs and risks of proposed decision

Most of the fees & charges levied by H&T have been held at the same level for the last 3 years during which time inflation has exceeded 4%. As a consequence of some service efficiency savings and relatively small staff salary increases, the cost of providing the services has increased only marginally.

The charges do need to keep pace with the cost of providing the services, otherwise they would be subsidised by KCC.

It is proposed to increase charges by 5% this year, this equates to less than 2% per annum since they were last revised.

Most of the charges to developers for supervision of new highway work are calculated as a percentage of the current estimated works costs so these rates do not need to be changed. The charges are broadly in line with those levied by other Highway Authorities.

Section 2 – Who is taking the final decision and when

Who is taking the Decision

Cabinet Member for Environment, Highways and Waste

Date:

July 2012

Reason if Key Decision

Decisions about expenditure or savings over £1,000,000 that are not provided for within the approved budget or medium term financial plan

Reason if this decision has been delayed/withdrawn from a previous plan

N/a

Section 3 – Who is to be consulted? If no please provide reasons?

Please state if there are any additional legal requirements to consult other than those stated in the Local Government Act 1999 - Best Value Duty.

None, part of annual review

Aims and level(s) of consultation (*KCC consultation levels attached*)

n/a

Key consultee groups

n/a

Start and finish dates of consultation.

n/a

Equalities Impact Assessment included in the consultation documentation. Please state where this can be found.

n/a

Date of publication of consultation outcomes and updated Equalities Impact Assessment

Informing Only (Who and when)

County Members to approve, then publication for Utilities and Developers

Has the matter already been discussed by a Cabinet Committee? If not when will be it discussed by the Cabinet Committee and the date of the meeting

No – decision scheduled for Cabinet Committee July 2012

Is the matter referred to in your Business Plan or Medium Term Capital Programme?

No

Which Members and electoral Divisions are affected by this proposed decision? Is it All Members?

All Members, charges apply on a county wide basis

Section 4 – Responsible Officer – Who to contact for more information.

Your name, Your Service, Your phone number and email address:

David Beaver, Commercial Manager, Highways and Transportation
01622 696775 - David.Beaver@kent.gov.uk

Support documents

Highways & Transportation - PROPOSED FEES & CHARGES for 2012/13

Responsible Cabinet Member - Cabinet Member for Environment, Highways and Waste

Reference No: 12/01922

Key No

Section 1 – the decision needed, how it relates to Bold Steps for Kent (BS4K) and the Corporate Objectives of the Council/ What is the impact, costs and risks involved. With this proposed decision.

Title:

NEW - A Standard Palette of Materials for use in Public Realm Schemes - 12/01922

The Decision needed:

Adoption of document by KCC

How the decision relates to BS4K and Corporate Objectives:

The Standard Palette approach will help developers to design and build maintainable streets without incurring additional (commuted sum) payments for future maintenance and without affecting the pursuit of good quality.

Impact/costs and risks of proposed decision:

Better public realm schemes with less costly maintenance.

Section 2 – Who is taking the final decision and when

Who is taking the Decision

Cabinet Member for Environment, Highways and Waste

Date:

Between July 2012 and December 2012

Reason if Key Decision

Reason if this decision has been delayed/withdrawn from a previous plan

Consultation period had to be extended.

Section 3 – Who is to be consulted? If no please provide reasons?

Please state if there are any additional legal requirements to consult other than those stated in the Local Government Act 1999 - Best Value Duty.

Consultation substantially complete, using Kent Design Initiative network.

Aims and level(s) of consultation (*KCC consultation levels attached*)

N/A

Key consultee groups

N/A

Start and finish dates of consultation.

Winter/Spring 2011

Equalities Impact Assessment included in the consultation documentation. Please state where this can be found.

Project files/Ashford Highway Depot

Date of publication of consultation outcomes and updated Equalities Impact Assessment

5 April 2011 and 11 January 2011 respectively

Informing Only (Who and when)

N/A

Has the matter already been discussed by a Cabinet Committee? If not when will be it discussed by the Cabinet Committee and the date of the meeting

19 September 2013

Is the matter referred to in your Business Plan or Medium Term Capital Programme?

Yes

Which Members and electoral Divisions are affected by this proposed decision? Is it All Members?

All

Section 4 – Responsible Officer – Who to contact for more information.

Your name, Your Service, Your phone number and email address:

Bob White, Highways & Transportation,
07715455956, bob.white@kent.gov.uk

Support documents

Post-Consultation Document v3 (others available on request)

Responsible Cabinet Member - Cabinet Member for Environment, Highways and Waste

Reference No: 12/01920

Key No

Section 1 – the decision needed, how it relates to Bold Steps for Kent (BS4K) and the Corporate Objectives of the Council/ What is the impact, costs and risks involved. With this proposed decision.

Title:

NEW - Kent County Council's Gypsy and Traveller Pitch Allocation Policy - 12/01920

The Decision needed:

Adoption of the new KCC Gypsy and Traveller Pitch Allocation Policy

How the decision relates to BS4K and Corporate Objectives

Establishes KCC's policy and decision-making process when allocating vacant pitches on Gypsy or Traveller sites that Kent County Council both own and manage.

Impact/costs and risks of proposed decision.

Will ensure a fair system is in place and will assist with reducing the risk of Judicial Review applications.

Section 2 – Who is taking the final decision and when

Who is taking the Decision

Cabinet Member for Environment, Highways and Waste

Date:

July 2012

Reason if Key Decision

N/a

Reason if this decision has been delayed/withdrawn from a previous plan

Section 3 – Who is to be consulted? If no please provide reasons?

Please state if there are any additional legal requirements to consult other than those stated in the Local Government Act 1999 - Best Value Duty.

None

Aims and level(s) of consultation (*KCC consultation levels attached*)

Key consultee groups

Site residents, councils and housing associations already consulted during consultation ending on 25 May.

Start and finish dates of consultation.

5 March to 25 May.

Equalities Impact Assessment included in the consultation documentation. Please state where this can be found.

<http://consultations.kent.gov.uk/gf2.ti/f/289794/6746597.1/PDF/-/Equality%20Impact%20Assessment.pdf>

Date of publication of consultation outcomes and updated Equalities Impact Assessment

(To be advised – probably around third week in June)

Informing Only (Who and when)

N/A

Has the matter already been discussed by a Cabinet Committee? If not when will be it discussed by the Cabinet Committee and the date of the meeting

4 July

Is the matter referred to in your Business Plan or Medium Term Capital Programme?

Yes, it is in Planning and Environment Business Plan

Which Members and electoral Divisions are affected by this proposed decision? Is it All Members?

All members potentially (because applicants for site pitches may come from any part of Kent, and beyond). But only eight sites, from Dunton Green in Sevenoaks district to Aylesham in Dover district.

Section 4 – Responsible Officer – Who to contact for more information.

Your name, Your Service, Your phone number and email address:

Sally Jeffery, Traveller Engagement & Operations Manager, Gypsy & Traveller Unit

01622 221805 or 221846 sally.jeffery@kent.gov.uk

Support documents

None.

Responsible Cabinet Member - Cabinet Member for Environment, Highways and Waste

Reference No: 12/01934

Key No

Section 1 – the decision needed, how it relates to Bold Steps for Kent (BS4K) and the Corporate Objectives of the Council/ What is the impact, costs and risks involved. With this proposed decision.

Title:

NEW - Managing Events on the Highway - 12/01934

The Decision needed:

Agree policy for Kent County Council's involvement in the management of events on the highway, following the Police's recent withdrawal of support for the management of traffic.

How the decision relates to BS4K and Corporate Objectives:

Events are an important part of Kent's culture and often impact or take place on the Highway. These events need to be accommodated in a manner that causes the least disruption to highway users whilst still enabling the event to take place wherever possible.

The decision therefore relates to delivering 'Growth without Gridlock' by promoting Kent and enhancing its cultural and sporting offer for residents and local businesses.

Impact/costs and risks of proposed decision:

The potential cost impact to Kent could be significant if financial support is offered or expected to be provided to each individual event. Staff already cover events in an advisory and consultee capacity working with other agencies.

Section 2 – Who is taking the final decision and when

Who is taking the Decision

Cabinet Member for Environment, Highways and Waste

Date:

July 2012

Reason if Key Decision

N/a

Reason if this decision has been delayed/withdrawn from a previous plan

N/a

Section 3 – Who is to be consulted? If no please provide reasons?

Please state if there are any additional legal requirements to consult other than those stated in the Local Government Act 1999 - Best Value Duty.

None.

Aims and level(s) of consultation (*KCC consultation levels attached*)

NA

Key consultee groups

NA

Start and finish dates of consultation.

NA

Equalities Impact Assessment included in the consultation documentation. Please state where this can be found.

NA

Date of publication of consultation outcomes and updated Equalities Impact Assessment

Informing Only (Who and when)

Event organisers, District Councils, and Police and publishing on website when policy agreed.

Has the matter already been discussed by a Cabinet Committee? If not when will be it discussed by the Cabinet Committee and the date of the meeting

No, scheduled for July 2012 meeting

Is the matter referred to in your Business Plan or Medium Term Capital Programme?

No

Which Members and electoral Divisions are affected by this proposed decision? Is it All Members?

All members

Section 4 – Responsible Officer – Who to contact for more information.

Your name, Your Service, Your phone number and email address:

Chris Seare, Highways and Transportation, chris.seare@kent.gov.uk

Support documents

None.

Responsible Cabinet Member - Cabinet Member for Environment, Highways and Waste

Reference No: 12/01927

Key Yes

Section 1 – the decision needed, how it relates to Bold Steps for Kent (BS4K) and the Corporate Objectives of the Council/ What is the impact, costs and risks involved. With this proposed decision.

Title:

NEW - Speeding up of procedures for Traffic Regulation Orders - 12/01927

The Decision needed:

Give officers delegated powers to consider objections to public consultations for small traffic schemes when the responses to the consultation is limited in number and non-controversial.

How the decision relates to BS4K and Corporate Objectives:

As part of Driving economic prosperity in BS4K it refers to delivering the priorities set out in our integrated transport strategy *Growth Without Gridlock (GWG)*. Within GWG road safety is stated as a constant priority for central and local government.

Impact/costs and risks of proposed decision:

Allowing officers delegated powers to consider minor objections to traffic schemes will speed up the process of implementing schemes, reduce staff time spent on projects and allow a quicker response to road safety issues.

Section 2 – Who is taking the final decision and when

Who is taking the Decision

Cabinet Member for Environment, Highways and Waste

Date:

Not before July 2012

Reason if Key Decision

N/a

Reason if this decision has been delayed/withdrawn from a previous plan

N/a

Section 3 – Who is to be consulted? If no please provide reasons?

Please state if there are any additional legal requirements to consult other than those stated in the Local Government Act 1999 - Best Value Duty.

None

Aims and level(s) of consultation (*KCC consultation levels attached*)

Gather Information from key stakeholders on proposed policy

Key consultee groups

Kent Police

Start and finish dates of consultation.

tbc

Equalities Impact Assessment included in the consultation documentation. Please state where this can be found.

tbc

Date of publication of consultation outcomes and updated Equalities Impact Assessment

Informing Only (Who and when)

Has the matter already been discussed by a Cabinet Committee? If not when will be it discussed by the Cabinet Committee and the date of the meeting

20 September 2012

Is the matter referred to in your Business Plan or Medium Term Capital Programme?

Key priority of improving road safety is within Business Plan and proposed policy will assist with this priority and increase officer output.

Which Members and electoral Divisions are affected by this proposed decision? Is it All Members?

All Members

Section 4 – Responsible Officer – Who to contact for more information.

Your name, Your Service, Your phone number and email address:

Andy Corcoran, Traffic Schemes & Member Highway Fund Manager

Support documents

None.

Responsible Cabinet Member - Cabinet Member for Environment, Highways and Waste

Reference No: 12/01929

Key No

Section 1 – the decision needed, how it relates to Bold Steps for Kent (BS4K) and the Corporate Objectives of the Council/ What is the impact, costs and risks involved. With this proposed decision.

Title:

NEW - Thanet Transport Strategy - 12/01929

The Decision needed:

Adoption of the Thanet Transport Strategy by Kent County Council (KCC).

How the decision relates to BS4K and Corporate Objectives:

The Thanet Transport Strategy will support the BS4K objectives of Helping the Kent Economy to Grow and Tackling Disadvantage by managing congestion in Thanet, providing better access to jobs and markets and supporting a shift to more sustainable transport patterns.

Impact/costs and risks of proposed decision:

The Thanet Transport Strategy forms a key part of the evidence base for the Thanet Core Strategy, which will be subject to an Examination in Public by an independent Planning Inspector during 2013. The appropriateness and deliverability of the Thanet Transport Strategy will be an important consideration in the Inspector's decision on the soundness of the Core Strategy as a whole.

The delivery of the Thanet Transport Strategy will be heavily dependent on securing funding contributions from development through the Section 106 and Community Infrastructure Levy (CIL) processes, as KCC's Local Transport Plan funding allocation from Central Government is likely to be under sustained pressure for the foreseeable future. KCC will not be a CIL Charging Authority; therefore it will be reliant on Thanet District Council as the Local Planning Authority to allocate sufficient CIL revenue to the priority transport infrastructure projects identified in the Thanet Transport Strategy. The availability of CIL revenue will in turn be dictated by the phasing and extent of new development, which will be influenced by a range of external factors.

Section 2 – Who is taking the final decision and when

Who is taking the Decision

Cabinet Member for Environment, Highways and Waste

Date:

Not before July 2012

Reason if Key Decision

N/a
Reason if this decision has been delayed/withdrawn from a previous plan
N/a
Section 3 – Who is to be consulted? If no please provide reasons?
Please state if there are any additional legal requirements to consult other than those stated in the Local Government Act 1999 - Best Value Duty.
N/A
Aims and level(s) of consultation (<i>KCC consultation levels attached</i>)
‘Making Choices’
Key consultee groups
Landowners/developers, businesses, the Highways Agency, Parish Councils.
Start and finish dates of consultation.
Not yet determined
Equalities Impact Assessment included in the consultation documentation. Please state where this can be found.
Not yet available
Date of publication of consultation outcomes and updated Equalities Impact Assessment
Not yet determined
Informing Only (Who and when)
N/A
Has the matter already been discussed by a Cabinet Committee? If not when will be it discussed by the Cabinet Committee and the date of the meeting
To be determined, but likely 15 November 2012
Is the matter referred to in your Business Plan or Medium Term Capital Programme?
Yes
Which Members and electoral Divisions are affected by this proposed decision? Is it All Members?
All Members in Thanet District Council
Section 4 – Responsible Officer – Who to contact for more information.
Your name, Your Service, Your phone number and email address:
Sally Benge, Development Planning, Transportation Service

01233 614182 - sally.benge@kent.gov.uk

Support documents

None.

Responsible Cabinet Member - Cabinet Member for Finance & Business Support

Reference No: 12/01942

Key Yes

Section 1 – the decision needed, how it relates to Bold Steps for Kent (BS4K) and the Corporate Objectives of the Council/ What is the impact, costs and risks involved. With this proposed decision.

Title:
NEW - Renewal of Insurances - 12/01942

The Decision needed:

Whether to extend the current insurance contract or re-tender.

Each year we update the information held by our insurer so that we pay the appropriate premium. Each year's premium is based upon the base rates agreed at the time of tender plus allowable annual increases to reflect changed risks and inflation etc. This year's revised quotation is expected imminently and is likely to be in excess of £1m.

The current contract with Zurich Municipal expires at 31 December 2013. Before 31.12.2013, the term of the current contract, we will need to decide whether we wish to extend or go out to tender. If we go out to tender then we will have to get going on this at the start of 2013. That decision might need to be taken towards the end of 2012.

How the decision relates to BS4K and Corporate Objectives
Sound risk management requires appropriate insurance cover

Impact/costs and risks of proposed decision
Re-tendering may result in a higher price

Section 2 – Who is taking the final decision and when

Who is taking the Decision

Cabinet Member for Finance & Business Support

Date:

Not before July 2012

Reason if Key Decision

Adoption of major new policies or changes to established policies.
Approval of business plans

Reason if this decision has been delayed/withdrawn from a previous plan

N/a

Section 3 – Who is to be consulted? If no please provide reasons?

Please state if there are any additional legal requirements to consult other than those stated in the Local Government Act 1999 - Best Value Duty.

No one needs to be consulted as there should be no effect on staff or the public

Aims and level(s) of consultation (KCC consultation levels attached)

N/A

Key consultee groups

N/A

Start and finish dates of consultation.

N/A

Equalities Impact Assessment included in the consultation documentation. Please state where this can be found.

N/A

Date of publication of consultation outcomes and updated Equalities Impact Assessment

N/A

Informing Only (Who and when)

N/A

Has the matter already been discussed by a Cabinet Committee? If not when will be it discussed by the Cabinet Committee and the date of the meeting
No

Is the matter referred to in your Business Plan or Medium Term Capital Programme?

Only as a budget item

Which Members and electoral Divisions are affected by this proposed decision? Is it All Members?

Could be all or any

Section 4 – Responsible Officer – Who to contact for more information.

Your name, Your Service, Your phone number and email address:

S. Richards BSS-FP stephen.richards@kent.gov.uk 7000 1784

Support documents

None.

AUGUST BY INDIVIDUAL CABINET MEMBER

Responsible Cabinet Member - Cabinet Member for Regeneration and Economic Development

Reference No: 12/01908

Key Yes

Section 1 – the decision needed, how it relates to Bold Steps for Kent (BS4K) and the Corporate Objectives of the Council/ What is the impact, costs and risks involved. With this proposed decision.

Title:

Growing Places Fund: Agreement to enter loan for infrastructure to unlock economic activity -12/01908

The Decision needed:

Background and decision

Government has allocated £32.5 million from the Growing Places Fund to the South East Local Enterprise Partnership (LEP). This will create a recyclable fund to pay for infrastructure that will unlock employment and housing delivery.

Following a call for projects in January, the LEP has awarded funding to five projects in Kent, subject to due diligence. These are: A28 Matalan Roundabout (Ashford), EbbsfleetValley/Southfleet Road (Dartford), Dartford Town Centre, Dartford Northern Gateway and the Live Margate housing renewal programme (Thanet). The total value of these schemes is £16 million.

It is proposed that KCC should decide to act as the accountable body in borrowing Growing Places Funding from the central LEP fund to support these schemes, subject to full due diligence.

Links to Bold Steps for Kent and corporate objectives

This decision supports the following priorities in *Delivering Bold Steps*

- Priority 8: Respond to key regeneration challenges, working with our partners
- Priority 9: Support new housing growth that is affordable, sustainable and with the appropriate infrastructure
- Priority 10: Deliver Growth without Gridlock

Impact, costs and risks of proposed decision

Combined, the five Kent schemes approved by the LEP could deliver around 1,600 homes and 1,100 jobs by 2016/17, and would also unlock housing and employment growth in future years.

There main risks are:

- Risk of failure to repay funding according to the timetable set out in the

funding agreement

- Risk of non-delivery of housing or employment outputs (including through continuing adverse market conditions)
- Compliance with state aid regulations in using public funding to support the delivery of private sector development schemes

These risks will be fully considered through the due diligence process before proposals are brought for decision.

The main costs will be in monitoring and reporting delivery. It is anticipated that these will be incorporated within the loan agreement.

Growing Places Fund is expected to be offered at zero interest unless interest is required in order to comply with state aid restrictions (in which case, it will be paid by the developer).

Section 2 – Who is taking the final decision and when

Who is taking the Decision

Cabinet Member for Regeneration and Economic Development

Date:

August 2012

Reason if Key Decision

Yes – involves commitment to expenditure of over £1 million outside of current business plan.

Reason if this decision has been delayed/withdrawn from a previous plan

N/a

Section 3 – Who is to be consulted? If no please provide reasons?

Please state if there are any additional legal requirements to consult other than those stated in the Local Government Act 1999 - Best Value Duty.

Consultation on the schemes proposed for Growing Places Fund took place with the KentDistricts and Kent Forum in advance of the LEP's decision to allocate funding.

There are no further requirements to consult on the distribution of Growing Places Fund overall, although there may be requirements to consult on individual schemes.

Has the matter already been discussed by a Cabinet Committee?

No

If not when will it be discussed by the Cabinet Committee and the date of the meeting

Economic Development Cabinet Committee – 5 July

Is the matter referred to in your Business Plan or Medium Term Capital Programme?

No

Which Members and electoral Divisions are affected by this proposed decision?

Proposed schemes will affect Members representing divisions in Ashford, Dartford and Thanet. Indirectly, all Members will be affected by the proposed decision, due to future recycling of Growing Places Fund to potentially benefit further projects in Kent.

Section 4 – Responsible Officer – Who to contact for more information.

Your name, Your Service, Your phone number and email address:

Ross Gill - Economic Strategy and Policy Officer

Economic Development

01622 221312 - Ross.gill@kent.gov.uk

Support documents

Report to Local Enterprise Partnership Board, 18 March 2012

SEPTEMBER BY COUNTY COUNCIL

<p>Responsible Cabinet Member - County Council</p> <p>Reference No:</p> <p>Key Yes</p>
<p>Section 1 – the decision needed, how it relates to Bold Steps for Kent (BS4K) and the Corporate Objectives of the Council/ What is the impact, costs and risks involved. With this proposed decision.</p>
<p>Title: NEW - The Kent Youth Justice Plan 2012.13</p> <p>The Decision needed: Approval of the County’s Annual Youth Justice Plan – this is a statutory duty (S40, Crime & Disorder Act 1998)</p> <p>How the decision relates to BS4K and Corporate Objectives</p> <p>The Plan sets out how the youth justice services in the county will meet the principal aim of the youth justice system, “to prevent offending by children and young people”. A significant percentage of the youth offending population are amongst the most vulnerable children in the county and are drawn from communities most at risk of poor health outcomes. The Plan details how the youth offending teams, and the statutory partners, will work towards the improvement of health and education, training & employment outcomes, including providing the opportunity for a small number to participate in the apprenticeship scheme for vulnerable learners. The teams will also be integral to the partnership strategies, community budgets and troubled families.</p> <p>Impact/costs and risks of proposed decision</p> <p>N/A</p>
<p>Section 2 – Who is taking the final decision and when</p>
<p>Who is taking the Decision County Council</p> <p>Date: September 2012</p> <p>Reason if Key Decision The Plan directly impacts on a county-wide service</p> <p>Reason if this decision has been delayed/withdrawn from a previous plan N/a</p>
<p>Section 3 – Who is to be consulted? If no please provide reasons?</p>

Please state if there are any additional legal requirements to consult other than those stated in the Local Government Act 1999 - Best Value Duty.

Aims and level(s) of consultation

The Plan is being submitted to the Corporate Management Team, the Cabinet Committee for Customers and Communities and the Cabinet

Key consultee groups

The County Youth Justice Board – the partnership grouping responsible for the management of youth justice services in the county.

Start and finish dates of consultation.

The consultation within the County Council will be completed by the 9th July and the paper and Plan are being submitted to the County Youth Justice Board on the 28th June

The Plan includes a commitment to diversity and the monitoring of outcomes achieved.

The paper supporting the submission of the Plan will reflect any changes to the Plan that have been agreed as a result of the consultation process.

Informing Only (Who and when)

Has the matter already been discussed by a Cabinet Committee? If not when will be it discussed by the Cabinet Committee and the date of the meeting

Scheduled for submission to the Cabinet Committee for Customer and Communities on the 6th July and the Cabinet on the 9th July

Is the matter referred to in your Business Plan or Medium Term Capital Programme?

Yes, integral to the Unit Operating Plan for Integrated Youth Services

Which Members and electoral Divisions are affected by this proposed decision? Is it all Members?

All Members.

Section 4 – Responsible Officer – Who to contact for more information.

Your name, Your Service, Your phone number and email address:

Nigel Baker -Head of Integrated Youth Services
01622 696569 - Nigel.Baker@kent.gov.uk

Support documents

None.

Responsible Cabinet Member - County Council

Reference No: 12/01941

Key Yes

Section 1 – the decision needed, how it relates to Bold Steps for Kent (BS4K) and the Corporate Objectives of the Council/ What is the impact, costs and risks involved. With this proposed decision.

Title:

NEW - KCC Community Safety Framework - 12/01941

The Decision needed:

To approve the KCC Community Safety Framework

How the decision relates to BS4K and Corporate Objectives

- Improving community safety, addressing crime and anti-social behaviour is one of the cross cutting themes in the Vision for Kent, with well established partnerships committed to making Kent a safer place. These ambitions are also echoed in KCC's Medium Term plan 'Bold Steps for Kent' (2012-15).

Impact/costs and risks of proposed decision

- Not high risk as the KCC Community Safety Framework reflects how current core business activities support community safety.

Section 2 – Who is taking the final decision and when

Who is taking the Decision

County Council

Date:

September 2012

Reason if Key Decision

The Community Safety Framework is likely to have a "significant" effect on KCC's services to the community, with particular reference to community safety service delivery and therefore constitutes a high level business plan for how KCC will deliver this statutory service.

Reason if this decision has been delayed/withdrawn from a previous plan

N/a

Section 3 – Who is to be consulted? If no please provide reasons?

Please state if there are any additional legal requirements to consult other than those stated in the Local Government Act 1999 - Best Value Duty.

Not applicable

Aims and level(s) of consultation (*KCC consultation levels attached*)

Not applicable

Key consultee groups

Not applicable

Start and finish dates of consultation.

Not applicable

Equalities Impact Assessment included in the consultation documentation. Please state where this can be found.

To follow

Date of publication of consultation outcomes and updated Equalities Impact Assessment

Informing Only (Who and when)

The Community Safety Framework will be considered by Customer & Communities DMT, CMT and Cabinet Committee

Has the matter already been discussed by a Cabinet Committee? If not when will be it discussed by the Cabinet Committee and the date of the meeting:

It will be discussed at Customer and Communities Cabinet Committee on the 6th July 2012

Is the matter referred to in your Business Plan or Medium Term Capital Programme?

The Community Safety Framework provides the statutory basis for many community safety related services across the County Council

Which Members and electoral Divisions are affected by this proposed decision? Is it All Members?

All

Section 4 – Responsible Officer – Who to contact for more information.

Your name, Your Service, Your phone number and email address:

Stuart Beaumont

Head of Community & Emergency Planning

Customer & Communities Directorate

Tel : 01622 694878 - stuart.beaumont@kent.gov.uk

Support documents

None.

SEPTEMBER BY CABINET

<p>Responsible Cabinet Member - Cabinet</p> <p>Reference No: 12/01913</p> <p>Key Yes</p>
<p>Section 1 – the decision needed, how it relates to Bold Steps for Kent (BS4K) and the Corporate Objectives of the Council/ What is the impact, costs and risks involved. With this proposed decision.</p>
<p>Title: Lease renewals for properties plus associated transactions where KCC is the landlord - 12/01913</p> <p>The Decision needed: Approval for the Director of Property and Infrastructure Support in consultation with the Cabinet Member for Business Strategy and Support to negotiate and enter into new leases for offices and other operational properties where rents likely to exceed £100,000 per annum and KCC is the tenant.</p> <p>How the decision relates to BS4K and Corporate Objectives</p> <p>This decision is needed in order to renew leases for key properties KCC needs to retain to ensure the smooth delivery of its services. The decision will support all 3 Bold Steps for Kent in that the properties will accommodate KCC’s service delivery who are responding to the requirements of the County identified in its policy documents.</p> <p>Impact/costs and risks of proposed decision</p> <p>The impact, costs and risks will be set out for each property in the document but essentially it will enable the continuation / improvement of service delivery in present locations identified as required. The cost will vary from property to property. The main risk will be that a service may not be able to continue with its service delivery if the lease is not renewed.</p>
<p>Section 2 – Who is taking the final decision and when</p>
<p>Who is taking the Decision Cabinet</p> <p>Date: September 2012</p> <p>Reason if Key Decision It is likely to have a “significant” effect on services to the community if leases are not renewed / granted.</p>

Also that under the property management protocol leaseholds with a rent in excess of £100,000 per annum or in excess of 20 years requires a member's decision.

Reason if this decision has been delayed/withdrawn from a previous plan

Not Applicable

Notify all Members of the Council

Section 3 – Who is to be consulted? If no please provide reasons?

Please state if there are any additional legal requirements to consult other than those stated in the Local Government Act 1999 - Best Value Duty.

No requirement

Aims and level(s) of consultation (*KCC consultation levels attached*)

NA

Key consultee groups

NA

Start and finish dates of consultation.

NA

Equalities Impact Assessment included in the consultation documentation. Please state where this can be found.

NA

Date of publication of consultation outcomes and updated Equalities Impact Assessment

NA

Informing Only

NA

Has the matter already been discussed by a Cabinet Committee? If not when will be it discussed by the Cabinet Committee and the date of the meeting

No

Is the matter referred to in your Business Plan or Medium Term Capital Programme?

Which Members and electoral Divisions are affected by this proposed decision? Is it All Members?

All Members

Section 4 – Responsible Officer – Who to contact for more information.

Your name, Your Service, Your phone number and email address:

Mark Cheverton, Manager – Estates Management, PI&S
01622 696977 - mark.cheverton@kent.gov.uk

Support documents

None.

Responsible Cabinet Member - Cabinet

Reference No: 12/01930

Key Yes

Section 1 – the decision needed, how it relates to Bold Steps for Kent (BS4K) and the Corporate Objectives of the Council/ What is the impact, costs and risks involved. With this proposed decision.

Title:

NEW - Freight Action Plan - 12/01930

The Decision needed:

To adopt the Freight Action Plan following the public consultation.

How the decision relates to BS4K and Corporate Objectives:

1. To help the Kent economy grow

The plan recognises the importance of the economic growth of the county and seeks to:-

- Work with the freight industry to seek solutions
- Provide information to the haulage industry to help them plan their journeys

2. To put the citizen in control

The plan identifies the impact that the freight industry has on the community and seeks to work with them by:

- Developing a community Lorry watch
- Provide a method of recording problems as they occur
- Work with the community and freight industry in raising the awareness of decisions that individuals make when ordering goods

3. To tackle disadvantage

The plan identifies the problems faced by the community and sets out the objectives to:

- Improving air quality
- Reducing the instances of lorries becoming stuck
- Ensure lorries are kept away from residential areas

Impact/costs and risks of proposed decision:

The plan will result in specific actions and will require a Freight officer to

implement the actions.

Section 2 – Who is taking the final decision and when

Who is taking the Decision

Cabinet

Date:

September 2012

Reason if Key Decision

N/a

Reason if this decision has been delayed/withdrawn from a previous plan

N/a

Section 3 – Who is to be consulted? If no please provide reasons?

Please state if there are any additional legal requirements to consult other than those stated in the Local Government Act 1999 - Best Value Duty.

None

Aims and level(s) of consultation (*KCC consultation levels attached*)

The Action Plan has been subject to both a public and Stakeholder consultation

Key consultee groups

Freight Industry, Ports, District, Borough and Parish Councils

Start and finish dates of consultation.

Public Consultation 28th May to 23rd July 2012

Equalities Impact Assessment included in the consultation documentation. Please state where this can be found.

Included with full report

Date of publication of consultation outcomes and updated Equalities Impact Assessment

September 2012

Informing Only (Who and when)

N/A

Has the matter already been discussed by a Cabinet Committee? If not when will be it discussed by the Cabinet Committee and the date of the meeting

An update on the Action Plan was provided at the 11th May Committee meeting

Is the matter referred to in your Business Plan or Medium Term Capital Programme?

Yes the Action Plan is in the business plan

Which Members and electoral Divisions are affected by this proposed decision? Is it All Members?

All members

Section 4 – Responsible Officer – Who to contact for more information.

Your name, Your Service, Your phone number and email address:

Andrew Westwood, Traffic manager, Highways & Transportation
01622 222729 - Andrew.westwood@kent.gov.uk

Support documents

Freight Action Plan & EQIA

Responsible Cabinet Member - Cabinet

Reference No: 12/01901

Key Yes

Section 1 – the decision needed, how it relates to Bold Steps for Kent (BS4K) and the Corporate Objectives of the Council/ What is the impact, costs and risks involved. With this proposed decision.

Title:

Submission of the Final Business Case to DfE for The John Wallis Academy, Millbank Road, Kingsnorth, Ashford, Kent TN23 3HG and entry into contract with the building contractor - 12/01901

The Decision needed:

Approval to submit the Final Business Case to DfE and subject to its approval proceed to financial close and enter into contract with the Academy and building contractor to deliver The John Wallis Academy.

How the decision relates to BS4K and Corporate Objectives

This decision is needed in to progress KCC's Batch 2 Academies Programme. It directly supports 3 of the Bold Steps for Kent. Firstly, it perpetuates the target that all pupils meet their full potential. Secondly, it promotes Kent's cultural and sporting offer through the first class facilities which will be delivered. Thirdly, it builds relations with key business sectors across Kent, as the supply chain involved in such a project provides work to businesses of all sizes

Impact/costs and risks of proposed decision

The impact of the decision is that the project will be able to submit the Final Business Case, and enter into the necessary contracts with the Academy and the Building. The budget for the project is £16.7million. The risks will be set out in the paper intended to support Cabinet in taking this decision.

Section 2 – Who is taking the final decision and when

Who is taking the Decision

Cabinet

Date:

September 2012

Reason if Key Decision

Significant service developments, reduction, changes in delivery

Reason if this decision has been delayed/withdrawn from a previous plan

N/a

Section 3 – Who is to be consulted? If no please provide reasons?

Please state if there are any additional legal requirements to consult other than those stated in the Local Government Act 1999 - Best Value Duty.

There are no additional requirements to consult. Cabinet should note that as part of the detailed planning application, two public exhibitions were held in order that members of the public could view, and comment upon, the proposals.

Aims and level(s) of consultation (*KCC consultation levels attached*)

NA

Key consultee groups

NA

Start and finish dates of consultation.

NA

Equalities Impact Assessment included in the consultation documentation. Please state where this can be found.

NA

Date of publication of consultation outcomes and updated Equalities Impact Assessment

NA

Informing Only (Who and when)

NA

Has the matter already been discussed by a Cabinet Committee? If not when will be it discussed by the Cabinet Committee and the date of the meeting

No

Is the matter referred to in your Business Plan or Medium Term Capital Programme?

Yes - The matter is referred to in the Medium Term Plan.

Yes – The matter is referred to in the capital section of the Property & Infrastructure Support 2012/13 Business Plan as a Batch 2 Academy that will achieve contract completion and start of works.

Which Members and electoral Divisions are affected by this proposed decision? Is it All Members?

Mike Whitting, and Roger Gough in their capacities as Cabinet Members, and Jim Wedgbury in his capacity as ward member.

Section 4 – Responsible Officer – Who to contact for more information.

Your name, Your Service, Your phone number and email address:

Rebecca Spore, Director of Property and Infrastructure Support
Rebecca.spore@kent.gov.uk - 01622 221151

Support documents

P&IS Business Plan

Responsible Cabinet Member - Cabinet

Reference No: 11/01810

Key Yes

Section 1 – the decision needed, how it relates to Bold Steps for Kent (BS4K) and the Corporate Objectives of the Council/ What is the impact, costs and risks involved. With this proposed decision.

Title:
Equality Objectives for Kent

The Decision needed:
KCC Equality Objectives agreed

Section 2 – Who is taking the final decision and when

Who is taking the Decision
Cabinet

Date:
September 2012

Reason if Key Decision
Statutory requirement to publish by 30 April 2012

Reason if this decision has been delayed/withdrawn from a previous plan
N/a

Section 3 – Who is to be consulted? If no please provide reasons?

Please state if there are any additional legal requirements to consult other than those stated in the Local Government Act 1999 - Best Value Duty.

Who is it necessary to consult?
Staff and relevant Stakeholders across Kent

Has the matter already been discussed by a Policy, Overview and Scrutiny Committee?:
Corporate POSC & Customer & Communities POSC will have seen a draft in January 2011

Is the matter referred to in your Business Plan or Medium Term Capital Programme?
Yes is in the Communication & Community Engagement Business Plan

Closing date for consultation/receiving comments:
28 February 2012

Section 4 – Responsible Officer – Who to contact for more information.

Your name, Your Service, Your phone number and email address:

Akua Agyepong, Corporate Equalities & Diversity Manager 01622 696112,
akua.agyepong@kent.gov.uk

Support documents

None.

Responsible Cabinet Member - Cabinet

Reference No: 12/01899

Key Yes

Section 1 – the decision needed, how it relates to Bold Steps for Kent (BS4K) and the Corporate Objectives of the Council/ What is the impact, costs and risks involved. With this proposed decision.

Title:

Submission of the Final Business Case to DfE for St Augustine's Academy, Oakwood Park, Maidstone, Kent ME16 8AE and entry into contract with the building contractor - 12/01899

The Decision needed:

Approval to submit the Final Business Case to DfE and subject to its approval proceed to financial close and enter into contract with the Academy and building contractor to deliver St Augustine's Academy.

How the decision relates to BS4K and Corporate Objectives

This decision is needed in to progress KCC's Batch 2 Academies Programme. It directly supports 3 of the Bold Steps for Kent. Firstly, it perpetuates the target that all pupils meet their full potential. Secondly, it promotes Kent's cultural and sporting offer through the first class facilities which will be delivered. Thirdly, it builds relations with key business sectors across Kent, as the supply chain involved in such a project provides work to businesses of all sizes

Impact/costs and risks of proposed decision

The impact of the decision is that the project will be able to submit the Final Business Case, and enter into the necessary contracts with the Academy and the Building. The budget for the project is £16.7million. The risks will be set out in the paper intended to support Cabinet in taking this decision.

Section 2 – Who is taking the final decision and when

Who is taking the Decision

Cabinet

Date:

September 2012

Reason if Key Decision

Significant service developments, reduction, changes in delivery

Reason if this decision has been delayed/withdrawn from a previous

<p>plan N/a</p>
<p>Section 3 – Who is to be consulted? If no please provide reasons?</p> <p>Please state if there are any additional legal requirements to consult other than those stated in the Local Government Act 1999 - Best Value Duty.</p> <p>There are no additional requirements to consult. Cabinet should note that as part of the detailed planning application, two public exhibitions were held in order that members of the public could view, and comment upon, the proposals.</p> <p>Aims and level(s) of consultation (<i>KCC consultation levels attached</i>)</p> <p>NA</p> <p>Key consultee groups</p> <p>NA</p> <p>Start and finish dates of consultation.</p> <p>NA</p> <p>Equalities Impact Assessment included in the consultation documentation. Please state where this can be found.</p> <p>NA</p> <p>Date of publication of consultation outcomes and updated Equalities Impact Assessment</p> <p>NA</p> <p>Informing Only (Who and when)</p> <p>NA</p> <p>Has the matter already been discussed by a Cabinet Committee? If not when will be it discussed by the Cabinet Committee and the date of the meeting</p> <p>No</p> <p>Is the matter referred to in your Business Plan or Medium Term Capital Programme?</p> <p>Yes - The matter is referred to in the Medium Term Plan.</p> <p>Yes – The matter is referred to in the capital section of the Property & Infrastructure Support 2012/13 Business Plan as a Batch 2 Academy that will achieve contract completion and start of works.</p> <p>Which Members and electoral Divisions are affected by this proposed decision? Is it All Members?</p> <p>Mike Whitting, and Roger Gough in their capacities as Cabinet Members, and</p>

Dan Daley and Malcolm Robertson as their capacity as ward member.

Section 4 – Responsible Officer – Who to contact for more information.

Your name, Your Service, Your phone number and email address:

Rebecca Spore, Director of Property and Infrastructure Support
Rebecca.spore@kent.gov.uk - 01622 221151

Support documents

P&IS Business Plan

SEPTEMBER BY INDIVIDUAL CABINET MEMBER

<p>Responsible Cabinet Member - Cabinet Member for Adult Social Care & Public Health</p> <p>Reference No: 11/01746</p> <p>Key Yes</p>
<p>Section 1 – the decision needed, how it relates to Bold Steps for Kent (BS4K) and the Corporate Objectives of the Council/ What is the impact, costs and risks involved. With this proposed decision.</p>
<p>Title: Mental Health Community Support Services - 11/01746</p> <p>The Decision needed: Approval to modernise MHCSS.</p> <p>How the decision relates to BS4K and Corporate Objectives BS4K Priority 15: Improve services for the most vulnerable people in Kent</p> <p>Impact/costs and risks of proposed decision The services received by current service users may be disrupted if service changes. Potential costs and risks to be identified through Equality Impact Assessment and Gather Opinions consultation process</p>
<p>Section 2 – Who is taking the final decision and when</p>
<p>Who is taking the Decision Cabinet Member for Adult Social Care & Public Health</p> <p>Date: Between September 2012 and October 2012</p> <p>Reason if Key Decision Need to modernise services to reflect need and deliver efficiencies.</p> <p>Reason if this decision has been delayed/withdrawn from a previous plan Completion of informal consultation has delayed timeline for formal consultation. The review has identified additional work that needs to be completed prior to beginning consultation in order to understand the impact of the proposal on other staff within the mental health workforce and service users.</p>
<p>Section 3 – Who is to be consulted? If no please provide reasons?</p>
<p>Please state if there are any additional legal requirements to consult other than those stated in the Local Government Act 1999 - Best Value Duty.</p>

Please state if there are any additional legal requirements to consult other than those stated in the Local Government Act 1999 - Best Value Duty.

None

Aims and level(s) of consultation

Gather information; to seek information and opinions from MHCSS staff and Kent and Medway Partnership Trust (KMPT) to inform future planning. Information to be used now.

Making Choices: to seek preferences before making a decision

Key consultee groups

MHCSS staff, KMPT partners

Start and finish dates of consultation.

Gather information: September - November 2011

Making Choices: 11 July - 10 August 2012

Equalities Impact Assessment included in the consultation documentation. Please state where this can be found.

\\invicta.cantium.net\KCCRoot\Legacy Shares\G-SSSPU\AlfA\Efficiency Programme\Efficiency Projects\Review of In-House Services\EIA\Initial Screening

Date of publication of consultation outcomes and updated Equalities Impact Assessment

By 31 August 2012

Informing Only (Who and when)

Service users and carers May 2012

Has the matter already been discussed by a Cabinet Committee? If not when will be it discussed by the Cabinet Committee and the date of the meeting

To be discussed at Cabinet Committee on 14 September 2012

Is the matter referred to in your Business Plan or Medium Term Capital Programme?

Yes

Which Members and electoral Divisions are affected by this proposed decision? Is it All Members?

County wide service so will impact on all electoral decisions within Kent

Section 4 – Responsible Officer – Who to contact for more information.

Your name, Your Service, Your phone number and email address:

Samantha Sheppard, 07795 540071 Samantha.sheppard@kent.gov.uk

Support documents None.

Responsible Cabinet Member - Cabinet Member for Business Strategy, Performance & Health Reform

Reference No: 12/01943

Key Yes

Section 1 – the decision needed, how it relates to Bold Steps for Kent (BS4K) and the Corporate Objectives of the Council/ What is the impact, costs and risks involved. With this proposed decision.

Title:

NEW - Local Health Watch - 12/01943

The Decision needed:

To agree proposals to establish Local Health Watch in Kent as required by the Health and social Care Act 2012

How the decision relates to BS4K and Corporate Objectives

Local Health Watch will provide a crucial component of health and social care services in Kent as the voice of patients and public. It relates directly to KCC priorities of Putting the Citizen in Control, improving health and social care services and being responsive to our population and communities.

Impact/costs and risks of proposed decision

Funding of Local Health Watch is expected to amount to c.£1.3 million. Final financial allocation is still to be confirmed by the DH (probably December 2012) but procurement processes need to be initiated before this in time to establish the service in April 2013. Undue delays in implementation could result in failure to meet statutory responsibilities.

Section 2 – Who is taking the final decision and when

Who is taking the Decision

Cabinet Member for Business Strategy, Performance & Health Reform

Date:

September 2012

Reason if Key Decision

The budget for Local Health Watch is well in excess of £ 1 million and the service will operate across the whole county.

Reason if this decision has been delayed/withdrawn from a previous plan

N/A

Notify all Members of the Council

Section 3 – Who is to be consulted? If no please provide reasons?

Please state if there are any additional legal requirements to consult other than those stated in the Local Government Act 1999 - Best Value Duty.

N/A – This is a government requirement and the proposal has been subject to a national consultation as part of the NHS and Social Care Act.

Aims and level(s) of consultation (*KCC consultation levels attached*)

Key consultee groups

Start and finish dates of consultation.

Equalities Impact Assessment included in the consultation documentation. Please state where this can be found.

Date of publication of consultation outcomes and updated Equalities Impact Assessment

Informing Only (Who and when)

Has the matter already been discussed by a Cabinet Committee? If not when will be it discussed by the Cabinet Committee and the date of the meeting

To be discussed at the Policy and Resources Cabinet Committee on 11/07/2012 and 27/09/2012.

(Has also been discussed at Health Overview and Scrutiny Committee and Corporate Policy Overview and Scrutiny Committee).

Is the matter referred to in your Business Plan or Medium Term Capital Programme?

Reference to establishing Local Health Watch is contained in the Public Health Business Plan

Which Members and electoral Divisions are affected by this proposed decision? Is it All Members?

All Members

Section 4 – Responsible Officer – Who to contact for more information.

Your name, Your Service, Your phone number and email address:

Julie Van Ruyckevelt

AD of Patient Experience and Partnerships, NHS Kent and Medway

Interim Head of Citizen Engagement for Health, KCC

julie.vanruyckevelt@wkpct.nhs.uk

julie.vanruyckevelt@nhs.net
01732 376061

Support documents

Centre for Public Scrutiny report (Autumn 2011), Mutual Ventures –
Readiness Report (2011), CMM report (May 2011)

Responsible Cabinet Member - Cabinet Member for Education, Learning & Skills

Reference No: 12/01936

Key Yes

Section 1 – the decision needed, how it relates to Bold Steps for Kent (BS4K) and the Corporate Objectives of the Council/ What is the impact, costs and risks involved. With this proposed decision.

Title:

NEW - To agree the commissioning plan for education provision 2012-17 - 12/01936

The Decision needed:

How the decision relates to BS4K and Corporate Objectives

1. The project is in line with Kent strategies and in particular supports the following;
2. Bold Steps for Kent prioritises
3. Ensure all pupils meet their full potential
4. Shape education and skills provision around the needs of the Kent economy

The Commissioning Plan

One of the key strategic roles of the Local Authority is to ensure there are sufficient education places of high quality available to the children and young people of Kent. This responsibility is intertwined with our other strategic duties; to promote high standards in schools, and to be the champion of children, families and their communities. The new Commissioning Plan will set out how Kent will discharge its responsibility as the Strategic Commissioner of education provision

Impact/costs and risks of proposed decision

Following the outcomes of the consultation there may be changes to school provision in identified areas. These changes will ensure every child can go to a good school where they make good progress and can have fair access to school places

Section 2 – Who is taking the final decision and when

Who is taking the Decision

Cabinet Member for Education, Learning & Skills

Date:

September 2012

Reason if Key Decision

Major policy that is not in the Policy framework or change to existing policy

Reason if this decision has been delayed/withdrawn from a previous plan

N/A

Notify all Members of the Council

Section 3 – Who is to be consulted? If no please provide reasons?

Please state if there are any additional legal requirements to consult other than those stated in the Local Government Act 1999 - Best Value Duty.

N/A

Aims and level(s) of consultation (*KCC consultation levels attached*)

Feedback from this consultation will help to inform the final version of the Commissioning Plan. We hope that the final plan will inform all education providers and sponsors of the commissioning needs and timescales for new provision, to enable them to come forward with proposals.

Gather opinions and Gather information from the identified parties below to ensure

Key consultee groups

MPs, Members, Officers, Developers, Schools, School Governing Bodies, Community Groups, District Councils, Neighbouring LAs, LCTBs, Town / Parish Councils, Academies, Diocesan Authorities, Fe/HE Colleges, Free School applications groups, DFE, Unions, KPPS, EFA, Director for Public Health (Kent and Medway),

Start and finish dates of consultation.

24 Apr 2012 to 19 Jun 2012

Equalities Impact Assessment included in the consultation documentation. Please state where this can be found.

Yes

<http://consultations.kent.gov.uk/consult.ti/EducationProvision/consultationHome>

Date of publication of consultation outcomes and updated Equalities Impact Assessment

Education Committee – 10 July 2012

<http://kent590w3:9070/ieListMeetings.aspx?CIId=746&Year=2012>

<http://consultations.kent.gov.uk/consult.ti/EducationProvision/consultationHome>

Informing Only (Who and when)

N/A

Has the matter already been discussed by a Cabinet Committee? If not when will be it discussed by the Cabinet Committee and the date of the meeting

ELS Cabinet Committee on 9 May 2012

ELS Cabinet Committee on 10 Jul 2012

Cabinet on 17 September 2012

Is the matter referred to in your Business Plan or Medium Term Capital Programme?

Yes

Which Members and electoral Divisions are affected by this proposed decision? Is it All Members?
All Members

Section 4 – Responsible Officer – Who to contact for more information.

Your name, Your Service, Your phone number and email address:

David Adams - Area Education Officer Mid Kent
01233 898559 - David.adams@kent.gov.uk

Support documents

None.

Responsible Cabinet Member - Cabinet Member for Environment, Highways and Waste

Reference No: 12/01879

Key Yes

Section 1 – the decision needed, how it relates to Bold Steps for Kent (BS4K) and the Corporate Objectives of the Council/ What is the impact, costs and risks involved. With this proposed decision.

Title:

Kent Minerals and Waste Development Framework (MWDF) Core Strategy at Pre-Submission (Draft Plan) Stage - 12/01879

The Decision needed:

The Decision needed: (The title of the decision or narrative of the decision needs to be self explanatory so it is clear what the decision is being sought)

Approval is sought from the Cabinet Member for the two draft MWDF site plan documents in order to allow the commencement of the consultation on the Mineral Sites Plan and Waste Sites Plan at 'preferred options' stage.

How the decision relates to BS4K and Corporate Objectives

The consultation documents will assist in two of the BS4K priorities, (1) helping the economy to grow and (2) putting the citizen in control.

Impact/costs and risks of proposed decision

The preparation of the Kent MWDF is a statutory requirement. Failing to expedite the plan making will have costs to Kent both directly (by failing to comply with the EU Waste Framework Directive and risk incurring infraction proceedings), and to the Kent economy by failing to give the minerals and waste industry the required policy support for their developments.

The consultation will generate interest from both stakeholders and Kent communities whose responses (including objections, letters of support and comments), will need to be processed and analysed to inform the next stage of the plan making process.

The costs of the consultation will be met from the MWDF team budget, and resourced by the MWDF team in E&E. The direct costs include printing a limited number of hard copies of the two main consultation documents for distribution throughout the Kent libraries and Gateways and preparing CDs containing the consultation documents for parish councils and other key stakeholder groups. There will also be a series of stakeholder meetings and 'drop in' sessions co-ordinated to co-incide with the consultation.

The main risks arising from the consultation are that some of the host

communities for the proposed sites may generate high levels of objections and adverse media publicity.

Section 2 – Who is taking the final decision and when

Who is taking the Decision

Cabinet Member for Environment, Highways and Waste

Date:

September 2012

Reason if Key Decision

When adopted, the Kent MWDF plans will have a significant effect on communities living and working in all districts of Kent. They will identify specific sites and preferred areas for future mineral extraction and waste management uses. They will also establish the policy basis for the determination of all future planning applications for minerals and waste proposals up to the end of 2030.

Reason if this decision has been delayed/withdrawn from a previous plan

A supplementary sites consultation at 'options stage' was held in late 2011, delaying the preparation of the preferred options of the two sites plans.

Notify all Members of the Council?

Yes they will be notified at the start of the consultation.

Section 3 – Who is to be consulted? If no please provide reasons?

Please state if there are any additional legal requirements to consult other than those stated in the Local Government Act 1999 - Best Value Duty.

Planning and Compulsory Purchase Act 2004 and associated regulations as well as the Localism Act 2011.

Aims and level(s) of consultation (*KCC consultation levels attached*)

To work towards finalising the Kent Minerals Plan and Waste Plan documents, complying with our statutory requirements for plan making. This is the second round of consultations on the mineral sites and waste sites at preferred options stage. The final round of consultations (planned for September 2013) will be at 'pre-submission stage' and will follow the examination in public of the Core Strategy.

The consultation level that best reflects this stage in Table 1 is 'joint decision making'.

Key consultee groups

Minerals and waste industry, parish councils, district councils and environmental groups, Kent communities near the proposed sites.

Start and finish dates of consultation.

May 28th – 23rd July 2012

Equalities Impact Assessment included in the consultation documentation. Please state where this can be found.

It will be on the MWDF part of the kent.gov.uk website.

Date of publication of consultation outcomes and updated Equalities Impact Assessment

Consultation commentary report publication – target date early September 2012;

Updated Equalities Impact Assessment will be available from 28th May 2012.

Informing Only (Who and when)

Not applicable

Has the matter already been discussed by a Cabinet Committee? If not when will be it discussed by the Cabinet Committee and the date of the meeting

No – it is on the agenda for the first CC on the 11th May 2012

Is the matter referred to in your Business Plan or Medium Term Capital Programme?

Yes it is in the Business Plan for E&E

Which Members and electoral Divisions are affected by this proposed decision? Is it All Members?

Yes

Section 4 – Responsible Officer – Who to contact for more information.

Your name, Your Service, Your phone number and email address:

Lillian Harrison, Minerals and Waste Planning Policy Manager,

Phone: 01622 221602

Email : lillian.harrison@kent.gov.uk

Support documents

Draft Mineral Sites Plan and Draft Waste Sites Plan at 'Preferred Options' stage (to be provided prior to CC on the 11th May).

Responsible Cabinet Member - Cabinet Member for Environment, Highways and Waste

Reference No: 12/01919

Key No

Section 1 – the decision needed, how it relates to Bold Steps for Kent (BS4K) and the Corporate Objectives of the Council/ What is the impact, costs and risks involved. With this proposed decision.

Title:

NEW - Maidstone Borough Council: 'Preparation' consultation on strategic site allocations (Regulation 18) - 12/01919

The Decision needed:

Cabinet Member approval of KCC's response to Maidstone Borough consultation

How the decision relates to BS4K and Corporate Objectives
Establishes KCC objection to or support for strategic land allocations for employment and residential development in the Maidstone area.

Impact/costs and risks of proposed decision
Strategic land allocations may have important environmental impacts or establish the need for KCC services to support development.

Section 2 – Who is taking the final decision and when

Who is taking the Decision

Cabinet Member for Environment, Highways and Waste

Date:

September 2012

Reason if Key Decision

N/a

Reason if this decision has been delayed/withdrawn from a previous plan

N/a

Section 3 – Who is to be consulted? If no please provide reasons?

Please state if there are any additional legal requirements to consult other than those stated in the Local Government Act 1999 - Best Value Duty.

KCC officers and Local KCC Members will be consulted on the Maidstone Borough consultation

Aims and level(s) of consultation (*KCC consultation levels attached*)

NA

Key consultee groups

NA

Start and finish dates of consultation.

MBC's Provisional dates: 17 August – 1 October 2012

Equalities Impact Assessment included in the consultation documentation. Please state where this can be found.

NA. This is not a KCC document

Date of publication of consultation outcomes and updated Equalities Impact Assessment

NA

Informing Only (Who and when)

NA

Has the matter already been discussed by a Cabinet Committee? If not when will it be discussed by the Cabinet Committee and the date of the meeting

There has been no previous Cabinet Committee discussion. The only Cabinet Committee meeting to which this decision could be taken is 20th September. However, this will allow only a few days consideration of the published consultation before a report needs to be submitted and may prove impractical.

Is the matter referred to in your Business Plan or Medium Term Capital Programme?

Yes

Which Members and electoral Divisions are affected by this proposed decision? Is it All Members?

Maidstone

Section 4 – Responsible Officer – Who to contact for more information.

Your name, Your Service, Your phone number and email address:

Katherine Dove - Senior Planning Officer

Planning and Environment

Tel; 01622 223537 - Email: katherine.dove@kent.gov.uk

Support documents

'Preparation' consultation on strategic site allocations (Regulation 18)

Responsible Cabinet Member - Cabinet Member for Environment, Highways and Waste

Reference No: 12/01932

Key No

Section 1 – the decision needed, how it relates to Bold Steps for Kent (BS4K) and the Corporate Objectives of the Council/ What is the impact, costs and risks involved. With this proposed decision.

Title:

NEW - Managing Roadworks, Kent Lane Rental Scheme - 12/01932

The Decision needed:

Noting of summary of statutory consultation on the Kent Lane Rental Scheme and acceptance of the recommendations to apply to the Department for Transport to run the Kent Lane Rental Scheme.

How the decision relates to BS4K and Corporate Objectives:

Roadworks are a necessary part of maintaining the highways and services of Kent. Kent has been operating a permit scheme to good effect since 25 January 2010. The proposed Kent Lane Rental Scheme will incentivise innovation and a focus on Kent's top tier of roads to enable this work to take place with the absolute minimum of inconvenience helping to deliver 'Growth without Gridlock'

Impact/costs and risks of proposed decision:

The cost of developing and running of the scheme will be covered fully by the charges received under the scheme. There will need to be parity with Kent's own work however the charges should be able to be minimised by good working practices. The scheme will deliver a significant surplus which under the regulations will need to be reinvested into the street and road works industry to fund initiatives to reduce congestion.

Section 2 – Who is taking the final decision and when

Who is taking the Decision

Cabinet Member for Environment, Highways and Waste

Date:

September 2012

Reason if Key Decision

N/a

Reason if this decision has been delayed/withdrawn from a previous plan

N/a

Section 3 – Who is to be consulted? If no please provide reasons?

Please state if there are any additional legal requirements to consult other than those stated in the Local Government Act 1999 - Best Value Duty.

The project to develop the Kent Lane Rental Scheme has included senior members of the National Joint Utilities Group (NJUG) on the project board and also included in the schemes development is a local stakeholders group forum from South East Joint Utilities Group (SEJUG). This early proactive involvement has led to a jointly developed scheme aimed at being workable, practical, fair and effective.

Aims and level(s) of consultation (*KCC consultation levels attached*)

Statutory 12 week public and stakeholder consultation

Key consultee groups

Street works and road works industry, transport stakeholders, Parish and District Councils, the public.

Start and finish dates of consultation.

End of June to Mid September

Equalities Impact Assessment included in the consultation documentation. Please state where this can be found.

No but will be available on the Kent Lane Rental page on KCC's website.

Date of publication of consultation outcomes and updated Equalities Impact Assessment

Completed for 20 September Cabinet report.

Informing Only (Who and when)

Has the matter already been discussed by a Cabinet Committee? If not when will be it discussed by the Cabinet Committee and the date of the meeting

Previously part of Informal Members group report recommendations to Cabinet Committee on 11 May 2012

Is the matter referred to in your Business Plan or Medium Term Capital Programme?

Yes

Which Members and electoral Divisions are affected by this proposed decision? Is it All Members?

All members

Section 4 – Responsible Officer – Who to contact for more information.

Your name, Your Service, Your phone number and email address:

David Latham, Highways and Transportation, david.latham@kent.gov.uk

Support documents - Kent Lane Rental Scheme

Responsible Cabinet Member - Cabinet Member for Environment, Highways and Waste

Reference No: 12/01921

Key No

Section 1 – the decision needed, how it relates to Bold Steps for Kent (BS4K) and the Corporate Objectives of the Council/ What is the impact, costs and risks involved. With this proposed decision.

Title:

New - Winter Service Policy 2012-13 - 12/01921

The Decision needed:

To agree a revised Winter Service Policy which will include revisions to salting routes and timings of the routes.

How the decision relates to BS4K and Corporate Objectives:

Winter Service is essential to keep Kent moving for social and economic development reasons. It also contributes towards putting the citizen in control through local district winter plans, the provision of salt bins and the communication strategy that compliments the winter service policy.

Impact/costs and risks of proposed decision:

The revised policy is not expected to involve changes to existing agreed budgets. Failure to agree and implement an effective winter service policy would risk the safe and efficient management of the County's highway network during the winter months.

Section 2 – Who is taking the final decision and when

Who is taking the Decision

Cabinet Member for Environment, Highways and Waste

Date:

September 2012

Reason if Key Decision

N/a

Reason if this decision has been delayed/withdrawn from a previous plan

N/a

Section 3 – Who is to be consulted? If no please provide reasons?

Please state if there are any additional legal requirements to consult other than those stated in the Local Government Act 1999 - Best Value Duty.

No formal consultation required for this policy. Local district winter plans go to Joint Transportation Board for discussion. Formal consultation carried out in 2010 and the results have been used to develop the policy.

Aims and level(s) of consultation (*KCC consultation levels attached*)

N/a

Key consultee groups

N/A

Start and finish dates of consultation.

N/A

Equalities Impact Assessment included in the consultation documentation. Please state where this can be found.

EIA screening carried out on previous version of policy – sent to Corporate Equalities team via then Equalities lead officer for EHW.

Date of publication of consultation outcomes and updated Equalities Impact Assessment

N/a

Informing Only (Who and when)

N/a

Has the matter already been discussed by a Cabinet Committee? If not when will be it discussed by the Cabinet Committee and the date of the meeting

No – will be considered by Cabinet Committee on 20 September 2012.

Is the matter referred to in your Business Plan or Medium Term Capital Programme?

Yes

Which Members and electoral Divisions are affected by this proposed decision? Is it All Members?

All Members

Section 4 – Responsible Officer – Who to contact for more information.

Your name, Your Service, Your phone number and email address:

Carol Valentine, Highways and Transportation

Carol.valentine@kent.gov.uk

Support documents

None.

OCTOBER BY INDIVIDUAL CABINET MEMBER

<p>Responsible Cabinet Member - Cabinet Member for Business Strategy, Performance & Health Reform</p> <p>Reference No: 12/01838</p> <p>Key Yes</p>
<p>Section 1 – the decision needed, how it relates to Bold Steps for Kent (BS4K) and the Corporate Objectives of the Council/ What is the impact, costs and risks involved. With this proposed decision.</p>
<p>Title: Outlining facilities management strategy for the whole property estate-12/01838</p> <p>The Decision needed: To delegate to the Individual Cabinet portfolio holder (Roger Gough) in consultation with Bryan Sweetland (Cabinet Member for Environment and Waste) and the Director of Property & Infrastructure Support in consultation with the procurement of facilities management strategy for KCC's property assets and its implementation programme.</p> <p>How the decision relates to BS4K and Corporate Objectives</p> <p>The decision will ensure KCC improves the procurement delivery of services connected with financial management of KCC assets and there are options to growth the economy from using key suppliers in Kent.</p> <p>Impact/costs and risks of proposed decision</p> <p>The risks will be set out in the paper intended to support Cabinet in taking this decision.</p>
<p>Section 2 – Who is taking the final decision and when</p>
<p>Who is taking the Decision Cabinet Member for Business Strategy, Performance & Health Reform</p> <p>Date: October 2012</p> <p>Reason if Key Decision A new procurement strategy will be finalised and this impacts on all properties and some buildings are currently provided by Kent Facilities Management (Part of Commercial Services) and the contract(s) will cover the whole KCC property estate .</p> <p>Reason if this decision has been delayed/withdrawn from a previous plan</p>

N/a

Section 3 – Who is to be consulted? If no please provide reasons?

Please state if there are any additional legal requirements to consult other than those stated in the Local Government Act 1999 - Best Value Duty.

Not applicable

Aims and level(s) of consultation (*KCC consultation levels attached*)

Not applicable

Key consultee groups

Not applicable

Start and finish dates of consultation.

Not applicable

Equalities Impact Assessment included in the consultation documentation. Please state where this can be found.

Not applicable

Date of publication of consultation outcomes and updated Equalities Impact Assessment

Not applicable

Informing Only (Who and when)

Not applicable

Has the matter already been discussed by a Cabinet Committee? If not when will be it discussed by the Cabinet Committee and the date of the meeting
August 2012

Is the matter referred to in your Business Plan or Medium Term Capital Programme?

As part of the new Operating Framework for KCC and Bold Steps there is reference to efficiencies and new ways of KCC reducing property costs but there was no specific mention in Property and Infrastructure Support Business Plan in 2011 on the proposed facilities management plan.

Which Members and electoral Divisions are affected by this proposed decision? Is it All Members?

Roger Gough and Bryan Sweetland

Section 4 – Responsible Officer – Who to contact for more information.

Your name, Your Service, Your phone number and email address:

Terry Whitlock, Operations Manager, Property & Infrastructure Support.
Terry.whitlock@kent.gov.uk

Support documents

Property & Infrastructure Support Business Plan 2011, Bold Steps for Kent

Responsible Cabinet Member - Cabinet Member for Business Strategy, Performance & Health Reform

Reference No: 12/01835

Key Yes

Section 1 – the decision needed, how it relates to Bold Steps for Kent (BS4K) and the Corporate Objectives of the Council/ What is the impact, costs and risks involved. With this proposed decision.

Title:

Procurement of external property services under a new Framework and associated contracts - 12/01835

The Decision needed:

To delegate to the Individual Cabinet portfolio holder and the Director of Property & Infrastructure Support the procurement of external advice and services associated with the management of the property estate and also asset collaboration.

How the decision relates to BS4K and Corporate Objectives

The decision will provide a platform to deliver a wide range of estate management and asset management services and build strong relationships with Kent Suppliers.

Impact/costs and risks of proposed decision

The risks will be set out in the paper intended to support Cabinet in taking this decision.

Section 2 – Who is taking the final decision and when

Who is taking the Decision

Cabinet Member for Business Strategy, Performance & Health Reform

Date:

October 2012

Reason if Key Decision

The procurement value will be in excess of £4 million

Reason if this decision has been delayed/withdrawn from a previous plan

N/a

Section 3 – Who is to be consulted? If no please provide reasons?

Please state if there are any additional legal requirements to consult other

than those stated in the Local Government Act 1999 - Best Value Duty.

Not applicable

Aims and level(s) of consultation (*KCC consultation levels attached*)

Not applicable

Key consultee groups

Not applicable

Start and finish dates of consultation.

Not applicable

Equalities Impact Assessment included in the consultation documentation. Please state where this can be found.

Not applicable

Date of publication of consultation outcomes and updated Equalities Impact Assessment

Not applicable

Informing Only (Who and when)

Not applicable

Has the matter already been discussed by a Cabinet Committee? If not when will be it discussed by the Cabinet Committee and the date of the meeting

August 2012

Is the matter referred to in your Business Plan or Medium Term Capital Programme?

There has been previous mention of the renewal of the Estates Framework in the Medium Term Plan but the range of services and procurement method has changed and hence this inclusion in the Forward Plan

Which Members and electoral Divisions are affected by this proposed decision? Is it All Members?

Roger Gough

Section 4 – Responsible Officer – Who to contact for more information.

Your name, Your Service, Your phone number and email address:

Nigel Brown, Asset Development and Commissioning Officer
Nigel.Brown@kent.gov.uk - 01622696970

Support documents

Property & Infrastructure Support Business Plan 2011

<p>Responsible Cabinet Member - Cabinet Member for Business Strategy, Performance & Health Reform, Cabinet Member for Regeneration and Economic Development</p> <p>Reference No: 11/01794</p> <p>Key Yes</p>
<p>Section 1 – the decision needed, how it relates to Bold Steps for Kent (BS4K) and the Corporate Objectives of the Council/ What is the impact, costs and risks involved. With this proposed decision.</p>
<p>Title: To Agree proposal for land transfer to Swale BC agree service equipment for a new Community Hub and the timelines and process that need to follow - 11/01794</p> <p>The Decision needed: To Agree proposal for land transfer to Swale BC agree service equipment for a new Community Hub and the timelines and process that need to follow</p> <p>How the decision relates to BS4K and Corporate Objectives</p> <p>The proposal directly links to Bold Steps for Kent, including growing the economy, regenerating Sittingbourne and providing a new Gateway/library service in a new fit for purpose building and to support Kent's more vulnerable citizens. The regeneration project will link to Kent key construction companies.</p> <p>Impact/costs and risks of proposed decision</p> <p>The risks will be set out in the paper intended to support Cabinet in taking this decision.</p>
<p>Section 2 – Who is taking the final decision and when</p>
<p>Who is taking the Decision Cabinet Member for Business Strategy, Performance & Health Reform, Cabinet Member for Regeneration and Economic Development</p> <p>Date: October 2012</p> <p>Reason if Key Decision Key decision as the proposal impacts on a number of Cabinet Portfolio holder service</p> <p>Reason if this decision has been delayed/withdrawn from a previous plan N/a</p>
<p>Section 3 – Who is to be consulted? If no please provide reasons?</p>

Please state if there are any additional legal requirements to consult other than those stated in the Local Government Act 1999 - Best Value Duty.

Not applicable

Aims and level(s) of consultation (*KCC consultation levels attached*)

Not applicable

Key consultee groups

Swale Cabinet on 9 February 2011 outlining the name of their development partner

Start and finish dates of consultation.

Not applicable

Equalities Impact Assessment included in the consultation documentation. Please state where this can be found.

Not applicable

Date of publication of consultation outcomes and updated Equalities Impact Assessment

Not applicable

Informing Only (Who and when)

Not applicable

Has the matter already been discussed by a Cabinet Committee? If not when will be it discussed by the Cabinet Committee and the date of the meeting

Not applicable

Is the matter referred to in your Business Plan or Medium Term Capital Programme?

No

Which Members and electoral Divisions are affected by this proposed decision? Is it All Members?

Roger Gough and Cabinet Member for Regeneration & Enterprise

Section 4 – Responsible Officer – Who to contact for more information.

Your name, Your Service, Your phone number and email address:
Rebecca Spore - Director of Property & Infrastructure Tel no 01622 22115, Rebecca.spore@kent.gov.uk, Barbara Cooper, Director of Economic Development. Tel no 01622 221856, Barbara.cooper@kent.gov.uk

Support documents

Re-balancing Kent – August 2010, Unlocking Kent's Potential, Customer Strategy, Bold Steps for Kent

Responsible Cabinet Member - Cabinet Member for Environment, Highways and Waste

Reference No: 12/01828

Key No

Section 1 – the decision needed, how it relates to Bold Steps for Kent (BS4K) and the Corporate Objectives of the Council/ What is the impact, costs and risks involved. With this proposed decision.

Title:

Maidstone Borough Council Core Strategy Submission (Regulation 27) consultation - 12/01828

The Decision needed: (The title of the decision or narrative of the decision needs to be self explanatory so it is clear what the decision is being sought)

Cabinet Member approval of KCC's representations on the Maidstone Borough document

How the decision relates to BS4K and Corporate Objectives

Establishes KCC support, or wish to amend, proposals for economic and residential development, infrastructure and services and protection of the environment.

Impact/costs and risks of proposed decision

Seeks to establish local policy for contributions from development for the cost of KCC services

Section 2 – Who is taking the final decision and when

Who is taking the Decision

Cabinet Member for Environment, Highways and Waste

Date:

Between October 2012 and November 2012

Reason if Key Decision

N/a

Reason if this decision has been delayed/withdrawn from a previous plan

Delays to Maidstone Borough Council's timetable for the preparation of this document.

Section 3 – Who is to be consulted? If no please provide reasons?

Please state if there are any additional legal requirements to consult other

than those stated in the Local Government Act 1999 - Best Value Duty.

KCC officers and Local KCC Members will be consulted on the Maidstone Borough consultation

Aims and level(s) of consultation (*KCC consultation levels attached*)

NA

Key consultee groups

NA

Start and finish dates of consultation.

The consultation is expected to start during September 2012 and run for 8 weeks.

Equalities Impact Assessment included in the consultation documentation. Please state where this can be found.

NA. This is not a KCC document

Date of publication of consultation outcomes and updated Equalities Impact Assessment

NA

Informing Only (Who and when)

NA

Has the matter already been discussed by a Cabinet Committee? If not when will be it discussed by the Cabinet Committee and the date of the meeting

No

Is the matter referred to in your Business Plan or Medium Term Capital Programme?

Yes

Which Members and electoral Divisions are affected by this proposed decision? Is it All Members?

Maidstone Borough

Section 4 – Responsible Officer – Who to contact for more information.

Your name, Your Service, Your phone number and email address:

Katherine Dove - Senior Planning Officer

Tel: 01622 223537 - Email: katherine.dove@kent.gov.uk

Support documents

Maidstone Borough Core Strategy Regulation 27 Consultation document

NOVEMBER BY INDIVIDUAL CABINET MEMBER

<p>Responsible Cabinet Member - Cabinet Member for Education, Learning & Skills</p> <p>Reference No: 12/01897</p> <p>Key Yes</p>
<p>Section 1 – the decision needed, how it relates to Bold Steps for Kent (BS4K) and the Corporate Objectives of the Council/ What is the impact, costs and risks involved. With this proposed decision.</p>
<p>Title: 14-24 Education Strategy - 12/01897</p> <p>The Decision needed: The endorsement of a new 14-24 strategy</p> <p>How the decision relates to BS4K and Corporate Objectives Shape education and skills provision around the needs of the Kent economy</p> <p>Impact/costs and risks of proposed decision Improve strategy for 14-24 year olds</p>
<p>Section 2 – Who is taking the final decision and when</p>
<p>Who is taking the Decision Cabinet Member for Education, Learning & Skills</p> <p>Date: November 2012</p> <p>Reason if Key Decision Major policies not in the Policy Framework or changes to existing</p> <p>Reason if this decision has been delayed/withdrawn from a previous plan To allow for an adequate consultation to take place Notify all Members of the Council</p>
<p>Section 3 – Who is to be consulted? If no please provide reasons?</p> <p>Please state if there are any additional legal requirements to consult other than those stated in the Local Government Act 1999 - Best Value Duty.</p> <p>N/A</p> <p>Aims and level(s) of consultation (<i>KCC consultation levels attached</i>)</p>

<p>Key consultee groups Schools, Academies, Colleges, Work Based Learning Providers, Employers, Members</p> <p>Start and finish dates of consultation.</p> <p>TBC</p> <p>Equalities Impact Assessment included in the consultation documentation. Please state where this can be found</p> <p>Date of publication of consultation outcomes and updated Equalities Impact Assessment</p> <p>TBC</p> <p>Informing Only (Who and when) N/A</p> <p>Has the matter already been discussed by a Cabinet Committee? If not when will be it discussed by the Cabinet Committee and the date of the meeting ELS Cabinet Committee on 10 July 2012</p> <p>Is the matter referred to in your Business Plan or Medium Term Capital Programme? Yes</p> <p>Which Members and electoral Divisions are affected by this proposed decision? Is it All Members? All Members</p>
<p>Section 4 – Responsible Officer – Who to contact for more information.</p>
<p>Your name, Your Service, Your phone number and email address: Sue Dunn - Head of Skills and Employability 01622 694923 sue.dunn@kent.gov.uk</p> <p>Support documents None.</p>

Responsible Cabinet Member - Cabinet Member for Environment, Highways and Waste

Reference No: 12/01923

Key No

Section 1 – the decision needed, how it relates to Bold Steps for Kent (BS4K) and the Corporate Objectives of the Council/ What is the impact, costs and risks involved. With this proposed decision.

Title:

NEW - Canterbury Transport Strategy - 12/01923

The Decision needed:

Adoption of the Canterbury Transport Strategy by Kent County Council (KCC).

How the decision relates to BS4K and Corporate Objectives:

The Canterbury Transport Strategy will support the BS4K objectives of Helping the Kent Economy to Grow and Tackling Disadvantage by managing congestion in Canterbury City Centre, improving the reliability of journey times for commuters, visitors and scheduled buses, and enhancing key sustainable transport links to employment, education and healthcare.

Impact/costs and risks of proposed decision:

The Canterbury Transport Strategy forms a key part of the evidence base for the Canterbury Local Plan, which will be subject to an Examination in Public by an independent Planning Inspector during 2013. The appropriateness and deliverability of the strategy will be an important consideration in the Inspector's decision on the soundness of the Local Plan as a whole.

The delivery of the transport strategy will be heavily dependent on securing funding contributions from development through the Section 106 and Community Infrastructure Levy (CIL) processes, as KCC's Local Transport Plan funding allocation from Central Government is likely to be under sustained pressure for the foreseeable future. KCC will not be a CIL Charging Authority; therefore it will be reliant on Canterbury City Council as the Local Planning Authority to allocate sufficient CIL revenue to the priority transport infrastructure projects identified in the strategy. The availability of CIL revenue will in turn be dictated by the phasing and extent of new development, which will be influenced by a range of external factors.

Section 2 – Who is taking the final decision and when

Who is taking the Decision

Cabinet Member for Environment, Highways and Waste

Date:

November 2012

<p>Reason if Key Decision</p> <p>Reason if this decision has been delayed/withdrawn from a previous plan N/a</p>
<p>Section 3 – Who is to be consulted? If no please provide reasons?</p> <p>Please state if there are any additional legal requirements to consult other than those stated in the Local Government Act 1999 - Best Value Duty.</p> <p>N/A</p> <p>Aims and level(s) of consultation (<i>KCC consultation levels attached</i>)</p> <p>‘Making Choices’</p> <p>Key consultee groups</p> <p>Landowners/developers, businesses, the Highways Agency, Parish Councils.</p> <p>Start and finish dates of consultation.</p> <p>Not yet known</p> <p>Equalities Impact Assessment included in the consultation documentation. Please state where this can be found.</p> <p>Not yet available</p> <p>Date of publication of consultation outcomes and updated Equalities Impact Assessment</p> <p>Not yet known</p> <p>Informing Only (Who and when) N/A</p> <p>Has the matter already been discussed by a Cabinet Committee? If not when will be it discussed by the Cabinet Committee and the date of the meeting 15 November 2012</p> <p>Is the matter referred to in your Business Plan or Medium Term Capital Programme? Yes</p> <p>Which Members and electoral Divisions are affected by this proposed decision? Is it All Members? All Members in Canterbury</p>
<p>Section 4 – Responsible Officer – Who to contact for more information.</p>

Your name, Your Service, Your phone number and email address:

Ruth Goudie, Development Planning, Transportation Service
01233 614181- ruth.goudie@kent.gov.uk

Support documents

None.

Responsible Cabinet Member - Cabinet Member for Environment, Highways and Waste

Reference No: 12/01925

Key No

Section 1 – the decision needed, how it relates to Bold Steps for Kent (BS4K) and the Corporate Objectives of the Council/ What is the impact, costs and risks involved. With this proposed decision.

Title:

NEW - Gravesham Transport Strategy - 12/01925

The Decision needed:

Adoption of the Gravesham Transport Strategy (GTS) by Kent County Council (KCC).

How the decision relates to BS4K and Corporate Objectives:

The Gravesham TS will support the BS4K objectives of Helping the Kent Economy to Grow and Tackling Disadvantage by managing congestion in Gravesham Town Centre, improving the reliability of journey times for commuters and freight, and enhancing public transport links to employment, education and healthcare.

Impact/costs and risks of proposed decision:

The Gravesham TS forms a key part of the evidence base for the Gravesham Core Strategy, which will be subject to an Examination in Public by an independent Planning Inspector during 2013. The appropriateness and deliverability of the TS will be an important consideration in the Inspector's decision on the soundness of the Core Strategy as a whole.

The delivery of the Transport Strategy will be heavily dependent on securing funding contributions from development through the Section 106 and Community Infrastructure Levy (CIL) processes, as KCC's Local Transport Plan funding allocation from Central Government is likely to be under sustained pressure for the foreseeable future. KCC will not be a CIL Charging Authority; therefore it will be reliant on Gravesham Borough Council as the Local Planning Authority to allocate sufficient CIL revenue to the priority transport infrastructure projects identified in the Transport Strategy. The availability of CIL revenue will in turn be dictated by the phasing and extent of new development, which will be influenced by a range of external factors.

Section 2 – Who is taking the final decision and when

Who is taking the Decision

Cabinet Member for Environment, Highways and Waste

Date:

November 2012

Reason if Key Decision

N/a

Reason if this decision has been delayed/withdrawn from a previous plan

N/a

Section 3 – Who is to be consulted? If no please provide reasons?

Please state if there are any additional legal requirements to consult other than those stated in the Local Government Act 1999 - Best Value Duty.

N/A

Aims and level(s) of consultation (*KCC consultation levels attached*)

'Making Choices'

Key consultee groups

Landowners/developers, businesses, the Highways Agency, Parish Councils, public transport operators.

Start and finish dates of consultation.

Summer/Autumn 2012 (dates to be clarified)

Equalities Impact Assessment included in the consultation documentation. Please state where this can be found.

Not yet available

Date of publication of consultation outcomes and updated Equalities Impact Assessment

November 2012

Informing Only (Who and when)

N/A

Has the matter already been discussed by a Cabinet Committee? If not when will be it discussed by the Cabinet Committee and the date of the meeting

15 November 2012

Is the matter referred to in your Business Plan or Medium Term Capital Programme?

Yes

Which Members and electoral Divisions are affected by this proposed decision? Is it All Members?

All Members in Gravesham Borough

Section 4 – Responsible Officer – Who to contact for more information.

Your name, Your Service, Your phone number and email address:

Peter Rosevear, Development Planning, Transportation Service
01233 648315 - peter.rosevear@kent.gov.uk

Support documents

None.

Responsible Cabinet Member - Cabinet Member for Environment, Highways and Waste

Reference No: 12/01926

Key No

Section 1 – the decision needed, how it relates to Bold Steps for Kent (BS4K) and the Corporate Objectives of the Council/ What is the impact, costs and risks involved. With this proposed decision.

Title:

NEW - Maidstone Integrated Transport Strategy - 12/01926

The Decision needed:

Adoption of the Maidstone Integrated Transport Strategy (ITS) by Kent County Council (KCC).

How the decision relates to BS4K and Corporate Objectives:

The Maidstone ITS will support the BS4K objectives of Helping the Kent Economy to Grow and Tackling Disadvantage by managing congestion in Maidstone Town Centre, improving the reliability of journey times for commuters and freight, and enhancing key public transport links to employment, education and healthcare.

Impact/costs and risks of proposed decision:

The Maidstone ITS forms a key part of the evidence base for the Maidstone Core Strategy, which will be subject to an Examination in Public by an independent Planning Inspector during 2013. The appropriateness and deliverability of the ITS will be an important consideration in the Inspector's decision on the soundness of the Core Strategy as a whole.

The delivery of the ITS will be heavily dependent on securing funding contributions from development through the Section 106 and Community Infrastructure Levy (CIL) processes, as KCC's Local Transport Plan funding allocation from Central Government is likely to be under sustained pressure for the foreseeable future. KCC will not be a CIL Charging Authority; therefore it will be reliant on Maidstone Borough Council as the Local Planning Authority to allocate sufficient CIL revenue to the priority transport infrastructure projects identified in the ITS. The availability of CIL revenue will in turn be dictated by the phasing and extent of new development, which will be influenced by a range of external factors.

Section 2 – Who is taking the final decision and when

Who is taking the Decision

Cabinet Member for Environment, Highways and Waste

Date:

November 2012

Reason if Key Decision

N/a

Reason if this decision has been delayed/withdrawn from a previous plan

N/a

Section 3 – Who is to be consulted? If no please provide reasons?

Please state if there are any additional legal requirements to consult other than those stated in the Local Government Act 1999 - Best Value Duty.

N/A

Aims and level(s) of consultation (*KCC consultation levels attached*)

'Making Choices'

Key consultee groups

Landowners/developers, businesses, the Highways Agency, Parish Councils.

Start and finish dates of consultation.

17th August to 1st October 2012

Equalities Impact Assessment included in the consultation documentation. Please state where this can be found.

Not yet available

Date of publication of consultation outcomes and updated Equalities Impact Assessment

November 2012

Informing Only (Who and when)

N/A

Has the matter already been discussed by a Cabinet Committee? If not when will be it discussed by the Cabinet Committee and the date of the meeting

15 November 2012

Is the matter referred to in your Business Plan or Medium Term Capital Programme?

Yes

Which Members and electoral Divisions are affected by this proposed decision? Is it All Members?

All Members in Maidstone Borough

Section 4 – Responsible Officer – Who to contact for more information.

Your name, Your Service, Your phone number and email address:

Paul Lulham, Development Planning, Transportation Service
01233 614062 - Paul.Lulham@kent.gov.uk

Support documents

None.

Responsible Cabinet Member - Cabinet Member for Environment, Highways and Waste

Reference No: 12/01928

Key No

Section 1 – the decision needed, how it relates to Bold Steps for Kent (BS4K) and the Corporate Objectives of the Council/ What is the impact, costs and risks involved. With this proposed decision.

Title:

NEW - Swale Transport Strategy - 12/01928

The Decision needed:

Adoption of the Swale Transport Strategy by Kent County Council (KCC).

How the decision relates to BS4K and Corporate Objectives:

The Swale Transport Strategy will support the BS4K objectives of Helping the Kent Economy to Grow and Tackling Disadvantage by ensuring that the Sittingbourne Northern Relief Road is used to its full potential, managing congestion in the town centre, improving the reliability of journey times for commuters and freight, and enhancing key sustainable transport links to employment, education and healthcare across the wider district.

Impact/costs and risks of proposed decision:

The Swale Transport Strategy forms a key part of the evidence base for the Swale Core Strategy, which will be subject to an Examination in Public by an independent Planning Inspector during 2013. The appropriateness and deliverability of the strategy will be an important consideration in the Inspector's decision on the soundness of the Core Strategy as a whole.

The delivery of the strategy will be heavily dependent on securing funding contributions from development through the Section 106 and Community Infrastructure Levy (CIL) processes, as KCC's Local Transport Plan funding allocation from Central Government is likely to be under sustained pressure for the foreseeable future. KCC will not be a CIL Charging Authority; therefore it will be reliant on Swale Borough Council as the Local Planning Authority to allocate sufficient CIL revenue to the priority transport infrastructure projects identified in the strategy. The availability of CIL revenue will in turn be dictated by the phasing and extent of new development, which will be influenced by a range of external factors.

Section 2 – Who is taking the final decision and when

Who is taking the Decision

Cabinet Member for Environment, Highways and Waste

Date:

November 2012

Reason if Key Decision

N/a

Reason if this decision has been delayed/withdrawn from a previous plan

N/a

Section 3 – Who is to be consulted? If no please provide reasons?

Please state if there are any additional legal requirements to consult other than those stated in the Local Government Act 1999 - Best Value Duty.

N/A

Aims and level(s) of consultation (*KCC consultation levels attached*)

'Making Choices'

Key consultee groups

Landowners/developers, businesses, the Highways Agency, Parish Councils.

Start and finish dates of consultation.

Not yet known

Equalities Impact Assessment included in the consultation documentation. Please state where this can be found.

Not yet available

Date of publication of consultation outcomes and updated Equalities Impact Assessment

Not yet known

Informing Only (Who and when)

N/A

Has the matter already been discussed by a Cabinet Committee? If not when will be it discussed by the Cabinet Committee and the date of the meeting

15 November 2012

Is the matter referred to in your Business Plan or Medium Term Capital Programme?

Yes

Which Members and electoral Divisions are affected by this proposed decision? Is it All Members?

All Members in Swale

Section 4 – Responsible Officer – Who to contact for more information.

Your name, Your Service, Your phone number and email address:

Ruth Goudie, Development Planning, Transportation Service
01233 614181 - ruth.goudie@kent.gov.uk

Support documents

None.

DECEMBER BY INDIVIDUAL CABINET MEMBER

Responsible Cabinet Member - Cabinet Member for Environment, Highways and Waste

Reference No: 12/01924

Key No

Section 1 – the decision needed, how it relates to Bold Steps for Kent (BS4K) and the Corporate Objectives of the Council/ What is the impact, costs and risks involved. With this proposed decision.

Title:

NEW - A20 Corridor Statutory Quality Bus Partnership Scheme - 12/01924

The Decision needed:

Cabinet Member approval for the establishment of the Statutory Quality Partnership Scheme (SQPS)

How the decision relates to BS4K and Corporate Objectives:

The SQPS contributes to the BS4K objectives of Helping the Kent Economy to Grow and Tackling Disadvantage. It provides the framework for a comprehensive package of improvements to public transport services in the Snodland, West Malling and Kings Hill areas and along the A20 Corridor to Maidstone. The package has been designed to ensure that the level of bus provision is able to accommodate the ongoing housing and employment growth in the Medway Valley area. It will contribute to improved air quality within three Air Quality Management Areas (AQMAs) and involve the setting of minimum service quality standards, thereby locking in the benefits of investment by all parties and ensuring maximum return on public investment.

Impact/costs and risks of proposed decision:

The establishment of the SQPS will impose formal duties on Kent County Council, Tonbridge and Malling Borough Council and Arriva to make available, respectively, the facilities and services specified in the SQPS Agreement. For KCC, this is likely to include traffic signal priority for buses and passenger information and facilities at bus stops.

Section 2 – Who is taking the final decision and when

Who is taking the Decision

Cabinet Member for Environment, Highways and Waste

Date:

December 2012

Reason if Key Decision

N/a

Reason if this decision has been delayed/withdrawn from a previous plan

N/a

Section 3 – Who is to be consulted? If no please provide reasons?

Please state if there are any additional legal requirements to consult other than those stated in the Local Government Act 1999 - Best Value Duty.

The Local Transport Act 2008 requires local transport authorities to consult all operators of local bus services that could be affected by the SQPS; organisations representing the users of local bus services; other relevant local authorities that they think could be affected by the SQPS; the Traffic Commissioner with responsibility for the traffic area affected by the SQPS; and the Chief Officer of Police for the police area affected by the SQPS.

Aims and level(s) of consultation (*KCC consultation levels attached*)

‘Gather Opinions’

Key consultee groups

As above.

Start and finish dates of consultation.

To be determined – broadly August to October 2012 (12 weeks).

Equalities Impact Assessment included in the consultation documentation. Please state where this can be found.

Not yet available.

Date of publication of consultation outcomes and updated Equalities Impact Assessment

To be determined – anticipated during December 2012

Informing Only (Who and when)

N/A

Has the matter already been discussed by a Cabinet Committee? If not when will be it discussed by the Cabinet Committee and the date of the meeting

To be determined – 15th November 2012?

Is the matter referred to in your Business Plan or Medium Term Capital Programme?

No

Which Members and electoral Divisions are affected by this proposed decision? Is it All Members?

Cllr Peter Homewood (Malling Rural North East); Cllr Trudy Dean (Malling Central); and Cllr Sarah Hohler (Malling North).

Section 4 – Responsible Officer – Who to contact for more information.

Your name, Your Service, Your phone number and email address:

Paul Lulham, Development Planning, Transportation Service

01233 614062 -Paul.Lulham@kent.gov.uk

Support documents

None.

Responsible Cabinet Member - Cabinet Member for Environment, Highways and Waste

Reference No: 12/01935

Key Yes

Section 1 – the decision needed, how it relates to Bold Steps for Kent (BS4K) and the Corporate Objectives of the Council/ What is the impact, costs and risks involved. With this proposed decision.

Title:

NEW - Technical And Environmental Services Contract (Tesc) - 12/01935

The Decision needed:

Approval of the award of the Technical and Environmental Services Contract(s) (TESC) to the preferred bidder.

How the decision relates to BS4K and Corporate Objectives:

Priority 1: Improve how we procure and commission services (to help the Kent economy to grow)

Impact/costs and risks of proposed decision:

This is a contract(s) to supply a wide range of consultancy services to the Enterprise and Environment Directorate covering Transportation Engineering (including public transport); Highway Engineering (including maintenance, design, construction supervision, health and safety); and Environmental Support (including air quality, noise, landscaping, planning, regeneration, ecology and waste). The proposed contract spend by KCC will be approximately £5M per year for an initial period of up to five years, with possible extension.

Section 2 – Who is taking the final decision and when

Who is taking the Decision

Cabinet Member for Environment, Highways and Waste

Date:

December 2012

Reason if Key Decision

The Technical and Environmental Services Contract(s) will have a “significant” effect on KCC’s services and are major contract(s) of approximately £5M per year. (Historically, the value has been around £12M per year). The preferred bidder(s) will be identified by following a robust procurement and tender evaluation process, which uses a series of strict selection criteria around cost, quality and value for money to generate the chosen contractor(s). Regular progress updates and decision meetings are taking place with the relevant KCC Procurement Boards. The Environment, Highways & Waste Cabinet

Committee will be asked to endorse the final tender process, prior to Cabinet Member sign off and subsequent award.

Reason if this decision has been delayed/withdrawn from a previous plan

N/a

Section 3 – Who is to be consulted? If no please provide reasons?

Please state if there are any additional legal requirements to consult other than those stated in the Local Government Act 1999 - Best Value Duty.

Aims and level(s) of consultation

Gather opinions. Environment, Highways & Waste Cabinet Committee opinions will be sought to help ensure that the tender and evaluation process is sufficiently robust, in order to allow the Cabinet Member to sign off the final procurement outcome, prior to 11 January 2013.

Key consultee groups

- Corporate Procurement
- Legal Services
- Audit
- Finance
- ICT
- Potential Suppliers (Market Engagement)

Start and finish dates of consultation.

On-going consultation and engagement throughout the procurement process. To include:

- Market Engagement: 24 and 25 May 2012
- Bidders Day: July/August 2012

Plus the following key dates that must be adhered to:

- Preferred Bidder/s Identified: December 2012
- Standstill Period: 11 January 2013
- Contract Award: 21 January 2013
- Mobilisation: 22 January 2013
- Contract Start: 1 April 2013

Equalities Impact Assessment included in the consultation documentation. Please state where this can be found.

The EIA will be part of the procurement project documentation.

Date of publication of consultation outcomes and updated Equalities Impact Assessment

December 2012: Preferred bidder(s) identified.

Informing Only (Who and when)

A working group exists to include Highways and Transportation, Regeneration, Waste, Planning and Environment, Legal, Procurement and Audit. A wide range of stakeholders will be informed as a part of the contract mobilisation process.

Has the matter already been discussed by a Cabinet Committee? If not when

will be it discussed by the Cabinet Committee and the date of the meeting
Yes – on 12 January 2012 and 14 March 2012 at POSC. Three meetings of an Informal Members Groups (IMG) have also helped to explore the procurement options available. As the procurement process progresses further updates will be made to the EHW Cabinet Committee most likely at the September and November 2012 meetings.

Is the matter referred to in your Business Plan or Medium Term Capital Programme?

Yes. H&T Annual Plan 2012/13.

Which Members and electoral Divisions are affected by this proposed decision? Is it All Members?

The TESC is a county-wide contract(s) and it is anticipated that there will be provision for Districts to use the services.

Section 4 – Responsible Officer – Who to contact for more information.

Your name, Your Service, Your phone number and email address:

Paul Denman - Project Manager (H&T Procurement)
01622 221857 -paul.denman@kent.gov.uk

Support documents

POSC papers dated 12th January 2012 and 14th March 2012 (Restricted reports), • Timeline of Key Milestones

Responsible Cabinet Member - Cabinet Member for Environment, Highways and Waste

Reference No: 12/01933

Key No

Section 1 – the decision needed, how it relates to Bold Steps for Kent (BS4K) and the Corporate Objectives of the Council/ What is the impact, costs and risks involved. With this proposed decision.

Title:

NEW - Tonbridge Town Centre Revised Transport Strategy - 12/01933

The Decision needed:

Adoption of the Tonbridge Town Centre Revised Transport Strategy by Kent County Council (KCC).

How the decision relates to BS4K and Corporate Objectives

The Revised Transport Strategy will support the BS4K objectives of Helping the Kent Economy to Grow and Tackling Disadvantage by managing congestion in Tonbridge Town Centre, improving the reliability of journey times for commuters and freight, improving air quality and enhancing key public transport links to employment, education and healthcare.

Impact/costs and risks of proposed decision

The Revised Transport Strategy will reflect and facilitate the abandonment of the London Road to Hadlow Road link. This link is a desirable but not essential measure in meeting the objectives of the Tonbridge Central Area Action Plan.

The delivery of the improvement measures (schemes) identified in the Revised Transport Strategy will be heavily dependent on securing funding contributions from development through the Section 106 and Community Infrastructure Levy (CIL) processes, as KCC's Local Transport Plan funding allocation from Central Government is likely to be under sustained pressure for the foreseeable future.

There is the possibility that the higher priority measures may be funded through capital receipts from the sale of properties and land associated with the abandonment of the link road.

Section 2 – Who is taking the final decision and when

Who is taking the Decision

Cabinet Member for Environment, Highways and Waste

Date:

December 2012

Reason if Key Decision

N/a

Reason if this decision has been delayed/withdrawn from a previous plan

N/a

Section 3 – Who is to be consulted? If no please provide reasons?

Please state if there are any additional legal requirements to consult other than those stated in the Local Government Act 1999 - Best Value Duty.

N/A

Aims and level(s) of consultation (*KCC consultation levels attached*)

‘Making Choices’

Key consultee groups

Residents, Landowners/developers, businesses, community groups, the Highways Agency, Parish Councils.

Start and finish dates of consultation.

17th August to 1st October 2012

Equalities Impact Assessment included in the consultation documentation. Please state where this can be found.

Not yet available

Date of publication of consultation outcomes and updated Equalities Impact Assessment

October 2012

Informing Only (Who and when)

N/A

Has the matter already been discussed by a Cabinet Committee? If not when will be it discussed by the Cabinet Committee and the date of the meeting

15 November 2012

Is the matter referred to in your Business Plan or Medium Term Capital Programme?

Yes

Which Members and electoral Divisions are affected by this proposed decision? Is it All Members?

All Members in Tonbridge and Malling Borough Council

Section 4 – Responsible Officer – Who to contact for more information.

Your name, Your Service, Your phone number and email address:
Chad Nwanosike, Development Planning, Transportation Service
01233 614101- chad.nwanosike@kent.gov.uk

Support documents
None.